# **VLBI Data Analysis**

### Calibration, Edition and Fringe Fitting

Eduardo Ros<sup>1,2</sup> & Matthias Kadler<sup>3,4</sup>

1: U. Valencia, 2: MPIfR, 3: Dr. Remeis Obs. Bamberg, 4: ECAP

July 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2009 Multiwavelength Summer School

### Calibrating and editing

- CALIBRATION: Removal of instrumental factors in the measurements
- Every physicist has to calibrate the instrument of measure being used
  EDITION: *no data are better than bad data*

# Calibration - why? (i)

- Synthesis radio telescopes are not perfect (surface accuracy, receiver noise, etc.)
- Technical devices with different processes involved (frequency conversion, digital sampling, etc.)
   Control during the observation occasionally fails: calibration or edition of the data

# Calibration - why? (ii)

 Scheduling and observing errors do occur (wrong source positions, slewing times different than expected, etc.)

 Atmospheric and space weather (ionosphere) conditions are not ideal
 RFI

### **Calibration methods**

### Direct calibration:

- Some parameters of the observations are known (geometry of the interferometer)
- VLA: amplitude stability over 1%
- VLBI: impossible
- Calibrator sources in the sky:
  - Calibration observing well-known objects
  - Monitoring of phase: phase-referencing
  - Monitoring of gain: determination of amplitudes
- Self-calibration

### Instrumental effects, stable with time

- Antenna position coordinates: geodetic values (ITRF)
- Antenna pointing corrections: pointing model elaborated at the telescopes

Zero-point setting of instrumental delays: known by GPS values or another methods

# Stable effects during the observation

- Dry component of the atmospheric delay: *meteorological values*
- Antenna gain as a function of elevation: gain curves determined at the telescopes
- Shadowing of antennas by close ones: at close elements (VLA), known at the scheduling

# Variable effects during the observation

- Variations at the system temperatures: direct measurements and recording of the data
- Phase variations in the local oscillator: to be tracked
- Wet component of the atmospheric delay: determined with meteorological measurements, WVR

# What do we want and what do we get

We want to obtain the visibility function, which has to be inverted to obtain the brightness distribution:

$$V(u,v) = \int I_{v}(x,y) e^{-i2\pi(ux+vy)} dx dy$$

We obtain the correlation of the electric field (voltage) sampled at pairs of telescopes (baselines *ij*)

$$V_{ij}(t) = \left\langle x_i(t) e^{i\phi_i^{comp}} \cdot x_j^*(t) e^{-i\phi_j^{comp}} \right\rangle_{t}$$

### The measured signal

The net signal delivered by antenna *i*, *x<sub>i</sub>(t)*, is a combination of the desired signal, *s<sub>i</sub>(t,x,y)*, corrupted by a factor *J<sub>i</sub>(t,x,y)* and integrated over the sky, and noise, *n<sub>i</sub>(t)*:

$$x_i(t) = \int J_i(t, x, y) s_i(t, x, y) dx dy + n_i(t)$$
$$= s'_i(t) + n_i(t)$$

J<sub>i</sub>(t,x,y) is the factor to be calibrated, it is antenna-based

Sometimes, the effects contained in this term are irreversible and the data have to be edited

### **Correlation of signals**

The noise doesn't correlate:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle x_i \cdot x_j^* \right\rangle &= \left\langle (s_i' + n_i) \cdot (s_j' + n_j)^* \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle s_i' \cdot s_j'^* \right\rangle + \left\langle s_i' \cdot n_j^* \right\rangle + \left\langle n_i \cdot s_j'^* \right\rangle + \left\langle n_i \cdot n_j^* \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle s_i' \cdot s_j'^* \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int J_i(t) s_i(t) \, dl dm \cdot \int J_j^*(t) s_j^*(t) \, dl dm \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int J_i(t) J_j^*(t) s_i(t) s_j^*(t) \, dl dm \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Even if n<sub>i</sub>>> s<sub>i</sub>, the correlation isolates the desired signals

## Calibration sequence (signal path)

- Faraday rotation
- Tropospheric effects
- Parallactic angle
- Antenna voltage pattern
- Polarization leakage
- Electronic gain
- Bandpass response

### Ionospheric Faraday Rotation

The ionosphere is birefringent, and one hand of the circular polarization is delayed wrt the other, introducing a phase shift:

 $\Delta \phi = 0.15 \ \lambda^2 \int B_{\parallel} n_e ds \ \deg$ 

 $\lambda$  in cm,  $n_e ds$  in  $10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>,  $B_{\parallel}$  in G

- Rotates the linear polarization position angle
- Important at long wavelengths, at sunrise or sunset, and at a maximum of solar activity

Example:

$$TEC = \int n_e ds \sim 10^{14} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}; B_{\parallel} \sim 1G; \lambda = 20 \,\mathrm{cm} \rightarrow \Delta \phi \sim 60^{\circ}$$

### Troposphere

Polarization-independent Amplitude effect: opacity Phase effect: refraction Effect: 2m (7ns) excess path length at zenith compared to vacuum Important at high frequencies, where water vapour absorbs and emits Correction: water vapor radiometer, frequency switching?

### Parallactic angle

- Orientation of receiver with respect to the field of view
- Constant for equatorial telescopes
   Variable for alt-az

$$\chi(t) = \arctan\left(\frac{\cos(l)\sin(h(t))}{\sin(l)\cos(\delta) - \cos(l)\sin(\delta)\cos(h(t))}\right)$$
  
l = latitude,  $h(t)$  = hour angle,  $\delta$  = declination

Rotates the position angle of linearly polarized radiation

### Antenna voltage pattern

- Antennas have a direction-dependent gain
- Important for wide-field mapping (region of sky comparable or larger than λ/D
- Important at low frequencies

### **Polarization leakage**

- Orthogonal polarizations are not completely isolated
- Feeds have a value of d of a few percent or less
- Frequency-dependent
- For RCP/LCP systems, the total intensity image is affected as ~ dQ, dU (important only for high dynamic range imaging), and the linear polarization imaging is affected as ~ dI (very important)

### Electronic gain (i)

- Includes most of the amplitude and phase effects introduced by the electronics: amplifiers, mixers, quantizers, digitizers
- Dominates all the other effects
- Causes the scaling from engineering to radio astronomical units (Jy)
   Excludes frequency-dependent effects

### Electronic gain (ii)

Flux density observed for a given baseline:

$$S_{ij} = A_{ij} b_{\sqrt{\frac{T_{s_i} T_{s_j}}{K_i K_j}}}$$

- A<sub>ij</sub> is the measured visibility amplitude (raw correlation coefficient)
- Digitization losses: b
- K<sub>i</sub> are the antenna sensitivities (K/Jy)
- Tsi are the system temperatures in K

### Electronic gain (iii)

It is instructive to express the system temperature in terms of the system equivalent flux density, SEFD:

$$SEFD_i = \frac{T_{s_i}}{K_i}$$

Examples at 5GHz:
 Jodrell Bank, 26m, SEFD=366 Jy
 Effelsberg, 100m, SEFD=39 Jy
 Noto, 32m, SEFD=220 Jy
 VLBA antenna, 25m, SEFD=300 Jy

### Electronic gain (iv)

Sensitivity of an interferometer:

$$\Delta S_{ij} = \frac{1}{\eta_s} \sqrt{\frac{SEFD_i \cdot SEFD_j}{2\Delta v \tau_{acc}}}$$

Electronic losses η<sub>s</sub>
 Δv is the observing bandwidth
 Accumulation time τ<sub>acc</sub>

### Bandpass response

Frequency-antenna electronics
 The filters used to select the frequency passbands are not square
 Typically normalized

### More effects...

- Errors in the geometric and the clock models, affecting the phase: solved by FRINGE-FITTING
- Baseline-based errors not included into antenna-based factors
  - Correlators are designed to prevent that
  - Averaging in time and frequency
  - Correlated noise (RFI)
  - Indistinguishable from source structure effects

Planning for good calibration: values provided by the observatory

- Antenna positions, earth orientation
- Clocks
- Antenna pointing, gains, voltage pattern
- Calibrator coordinates, flux densities, polarization properties

### Planning for good calibration: absolute calibration

### VLBI: FORGET IT !

### Planning for good calibration: cross-calibration

- Observe nearby point sources (predictable visibilities) and transfer solutions to target observations
- Observe a calibrator of known flux density
- Polarization observations:
  - Observe strong and unpolarized calibrators
  - Observe a broad range of parallactic angle

### Radio Frequency Interference

- Originated from human beings
- Obscures natural emission in spectral line observations
- Adds to total noise power, making the amplitude calibration more difficult
- Can correlate between antennas close to each other
- Mitigation:
  - Electronic design in antennas
  - Choose interference-free frequencies
  - Observe continuum channels in spectral-line modes to edit bad channels



### Raw correlator output



07/02/2009

Eduardo Ros – Multiwavelength School

Graphics by C. Walker

### System temperature calibration

Rain and low elevation effects

07/02/2009



# Digital sampling correction

The use of 2-bit sampling causes a bias in the data due to digitization can be estimated from the data itself and corrected

Amplitude corrections not larger than 5%

### Gain curve correction

**VLBA** gain curves, dependence of the antenna gain as function of elevation



Eduardo Ros – Multiwavelength School

#### Image by C. Walker

07/02/2009

### **Atmospheric opacity**

# Absorption of the atmosphere



Ta / MEASURED FLUX (All sources, no opacity corrections)



Ta / MEASURED FLUX (All sources, opacity corrected)



Eduardo Ros – Mu

## Pulse cal system (i)



Correction of the instrumental phase shifts: pulse injection once per microsecond



### Monitoring of data

### Pulse-cal tones

Eduardo Ros – Multiwavelength School

Graphics by C. Walker

07/02/2009

### Pulse cal system (ii)



Data aligned with pulse-cal

### VLA: no phase-cal, phases are not aligned

#### 07/02/2009

Eduardo Ros – Multiwavelength School

Graphics by C. Walker

### Ionosphere



# Correction of parallactic angle

- Include the effect of the rotation of the receiver w.r.t. the sky in the phases
- Important in geodesy and in polarization observations

# Editing bad data (i)

No data are better than bad data

- Automatic flagging of data: antenna off source, problems at synthesizers, low elevation
- Examining data: most of the causes of poor data are antenna-based
  - Weather
  - Bad playback
  - RFI
  - Bad automatic flagging

# Editing bad data (ii)

### Raw data, not edited

### Edited data

39



07/02/2009

Eduardo Ros – Multiwavelength School

### Editing bad data (iii)

### Steps:

- Check the performance of the antennas in the logs, and edit consequently
- Removal of outliers and inconsistent data
- Editing during the calibration, if insuperable difficulties appear
   Editing during the imaging process, to improve the map quality

### **Bandpass calibration**



# Needed for spectral line analysis

### "Self-calibration" in each channel



### Amplitude check

Visibility amplitude before mapping



Graphics by C. Walker

07/02/2009

# Fringe fitting (i)

- Raw correlator data have phase slopes in time (fringe rate) and frequency (delay)
- Fringe fit is self calibration with first derivatives in time and frequency
- When is done:
  - Fit one scan to align channels: manual phase cal

Used to allow averaging in frequency and time, with corrections for smearing, getting a higher SNR for astronomical purposes (imaging)
 Used to get slopes in frequency for geodetic purposes (the delay is the main observable)

# Fringe fitting (ii)

Steps in the process:

FFT in 2D to estimate rates and delays to the reference antenna – search windows can be restricted

Least squares fit to the phases starting at the FFT estimate

# Fringe fitting (iii)

Baseline-based fringe fitting Not affected by poor source model Used in geodesy Global fringe fitting One phase, rate, and delay per antenna All data used: high SNR Source model allows improvement Used for imaging

# Fringe fitting (iv)

High SNR case

### Input phase turns

### Result of FFT



Frequency

Delay

# Fringe fitting (v)

Low SNR case

### Input phase turns

### **Result of FFT**



Frequency

07/02/2009

Eduardo Ros – Multiwavelength School

Images by C. Walker & G. Moellenbrock

# Calibration step by step (i)

Phase slopes across each IF, offsets between IFs

Uncalibrated amplitudes (correlation coefficients)



### Raw odata from the correlator-Multiwavelength School

# Calibration step by step (ii)

#### Plot file version 3 created 30-SEP-2002 16:28:14 BR077E.MSORT.1 Freg $\pm$ 15.3315 GHz, Bw $\pm$ 8.000 MH $\,$ Calibrated with CL # 3 but no bandpass appli 200100 -100 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 1.0 $|\mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{LL})|| |\mathbf{F} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{LL})|| |\mathbf{F} \mathbf{7}(\mathbf{LL})||$ IF 1(LLÝ IE 2(LL) IE 3/LL IE 4(LL)0.8 5 10 150 5 10 1 50 5 10 150 5 10 150 5 10 150 5 10 150 51015 - 1 Channels Lower frame: Ampl Jy Top frame: Phas deg Scalar averaged cross power spectrum Baseline: FD (02) - LA (05) Timerange: 00/02:39:00 to 00/02:41:00

### amplitudes, in Jy

### Amplitude calibration

07/02/2009

Calibrated

Eduardo Ros – Multiwavelength School

# Calibration step by step (iii)



No offsets between different IFs

### Phase calibration introduced

07/02/2009

# Calibration step by step (iv)

Solved for phase (equals zero) and delay (no slope).

The data can be averaged in time and frequency. READY TO MAP!



### Fringe-fitting done

07/02/2009

### **Polarization calibration**

- Determination of the instrumental polarization: leakage factors at the antenna feeds, the socalled *D-terms*
- After fringe-fitting and self-calibration, use of a source model following the method described in Leppänen et al., AJ, 110, 2479 (1995) [multi-component similarity approximation]
- Absolute Electric Vector Position Angle (EVPA) calibration needed afterwards, comparing with a known, stable case (3C286, 3C138)

# Astrometric/geodetic observations

- Use of the group delay using widely spread bandwidths
- Generally observing 2.3 and 8.4 GHz to remove ionosphere
- Solutions of data analysis:
  - Antenna and source positions
  - Earth orientation parameters (UT1-UTC, nutation, etc.)
  - Atmosphere and clock behavior
  - Accuracies better than 1 cm and 1 mas

Geodesy: International VLBI Service



### Credits

- Moellenbrock, 2002, Socorro Summer School, talk on Calibration and Data Editing (http://www.nrao.edu)
- Walker, 2002, Socorro Summer School, talk on VLBI (http://www.nrao.edu)
- Synthesis Imaging in Radio Astronomy II, by Taylor et al, ASP 180 (1999)
- Very Long Baseline Interferometry, Techniques and Applications, by Felly & Spencer, NATO ASI Series 283 (1989)
- Interferometry and Synthesis in Radio Astronomy, by Thompson et al., John Wiley & Sons, NY (1986)