

# 8-1

# **Broad Line Region**



# Introduction



Review: Peterson (2006)

- Overall, spectral *shape* is luminosity independent
- Baldwin effect: Emission lines (esp. Lyα and C IV 1549Å) weaker in more luminous objects, although shape similar.

This chapter: physics of region emitting the broad lines.

#### Introduction



# Properties

General properties of the BLR from observed spectrum:

- Emission lines from BLR: typical for  $T \sim 10^4 \,\mathrm{K}$  (photoionization)
- Lines have widths of 500...25000 km s<sup>-1</sup> Thermal motion:

$$E_{\rm kin} = \frac{1}{2}m_{\rm p}v^2 = \frac{3}{2}kT$$
(8.1)

 $\implies$  Typical thermal speed:

$$v \sim \sqrt{\frac{3kT}{m_{\rm p}}} \sim 20 \,\mathrm{km}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$$
 (8.2)

- Line broadening is due to supersonic bulk motion of BLR emitting gas
- No [O III] 4959/5007 lines  $\implies n \gtrsim n_{\rm crit, 5077} \sim 10^8 \, {\rm cm^{-3}}.$
- C iii] 1909 line sometimes broad, so  $n \leq n_{\rm crit, 1909} \sim 10^{10} \, {\rm cm^{-3}}$ .

More detailed analyses show C iii] to originate in region different from Ly $\alpha$  emitting region, typical densities can be as high as 10<sup>11</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>.

#### **BLR: Properties**



# Location

Location of BLR from line width: Assume emitting gas on a circular orbit: Kepler speed:

$$\frac{mv^2}{r} = \frac{GMm}{r^2} \implies v = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{r}}$$
(8.3)

such that

$$r = \frac{GM}{v^2} = 3600 \,\text{AU} \,\left(\frac{M}{10^6 \,M_\odot}\right) \,\left(\frac{v}{500 \,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}}\right)^{-2} \tag{8.4}$$

The BLR is located close to the central black hole.

Note: BLR probably does not consist of gas on circular orbits around the BH, so real size is larger.



# BLR: Mass

Mass determination: Determine number of emitting atoms from line strength, e.g., H $\beta$  (less influenced by radiative transfer effects than Lyman lines) Line emissivity:

$$j_{\rm H\beta} = n_{\rm e} n_{\rm p} \alpha_{\rm H\beta} \frac{h\nu_{\rm H\beta}}{4\pi} = n_{\rm e}^2 \alpha_{\rm H\beta}^{\rm eff} \frac{h\nu_{\rm H\beta}}{4\pi} = 1.24 \times 10^{-25} \,\rm erg \, s^{-1} \, \rm cm^{-3} \, sr^{-1} \frac{n_{\rm e}^2}{4\pi}$$
(8.5)

where  $\alpha_{H\beta}^{eff}$ : effective recombination coefficient for  $n = 4 \rightarrow n = 2$  transition (weakly temperature dependent).

Total H $\beta$  luminosity:

$$L_{\mathrm{H}\beta} = \iint j_{\mathrm{H}\beta} \,\mathrm{d}\Omega \,\mathrm{d}V = \frac{4\pi n_{\mathrm{e}}^2}{3} \cdot 1.24 \times 10^{-25} r^3 f \,\mathrm{erg}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \propto \int n_{\mathrm{e}}^2 \mathrm{d}V \tag{8.6}$$

where  $\int n_e^2 dV$ : emission measure, and f: filling factor.

BLR lines give BLR mass of  $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$  and  $f \sim 10^{-3}$ .

Observed lines are bright because of  $n^2$ -proportionality and high density of BLR gas.

#### **BLR: Properties**

8-5



# BLR Line Variability, I



Broad lines are variable on timescales from days to years.

Spectral variability of NGC 7603 (Sy 1), top to bottom: Dec 98, Dec 93, Sep 93, Aug 92, Jul 90, Oct 88, and Oct 79 (Kollatschny, Bischoff & Dietrich, 2000, Fig. 2)



# BLR Line Variability, II



Continuum and H $\beta$  fluxes for Mkn 335 (Peterson, 2001, Fig. 23)



# BLR Line Variability, III



Mkn 335: H $\beta$  line lags continuum by 15.6 d (Peterson, 2001, Fig. 24)





AGN time variability helps to map gas around Black Hole.

Flash at time t = 0 will illuminate gas at distance r after time delay

$$au = r/c$$
 (8.7)

Gas is ionized by flash. Recombination timescale of gas is

$$\tilde{\tau} = \frac{1}{n_{\rm e}\alpha} \sim 40 n_{11}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}$$
 (8.8)

i.e., "quasi instantaneous".

#### **Reverberation Mapping**

8-9







Light emitted by illuminated gas will be observed only after a time delay.

Extra distance traveled by light from r:

$$r' = r + r\cos\theta \quad (8.9)$$

Time delay due to light travel effect:

$$\tau = (1 + \cos\theta)\frac{r}{c} \tag{8.10}$$







Locus of points with same time delay (isodelay surface):

$$r(\tau) = \frac{c\tau}{1 + \cos\theta}$$
(8.11)

(i.e., a parabola)





Assume that line intensity increases by factor  $\zeta$  when BLR gas is illuminated by flash.

 $\implies$  total line emissivity increase from the isodelay surface:

$$\Psi(\theta)d\theta = \zeta \cdot 2\pi r^2 \sin \theta d\theta$$
 (8.12)

This assumes that conditions in BLR at r are the same everywhere.

 $\Psi(r)d\theta$  corresponds to a response at time delay  $\tau$ :

$$\Psi(\tau)d\tau = \Psi(\theta)d\theta \left| \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right| d\tau = \zeta \cdot 2\pi r^2 \sin\theta \cdot \frac{c}{r\sin\theta} d\tau = 2\pi \zeta r c d\tau$$
(8.13)  
where  $\tau = (1 + \cos\theta)r/c$ , i.e.,  $d\tau/d\theta = -\sin\theta \cdot r/c$  was used.

#### **Reverberation Mapping**

8–12



In reality, AGN does not emit shots, but nucleus varies stochastically  $\implies$ Reverberation mapping (Blandford & McKee, 1982)

Describe continuum variability as C(t).

Observed line variability, L, is:

$$L(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(\tau) C(t-\tau) d\tau$$
(8.14)

("convolution" of C with kernel  $\Psi(\tau)$ ).

Observational problem is the inverse of Eq. (8.14): Given L(t), determine  $\Psi(\tau)$ .

( $C(t - \tau)$  is known from continuum variations), provided the lightcurve is long enough, as  $\tau$  can be days to months!

8 - 13





To solve equations such as

$$L(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(\tau) C(t-\tau) d\tau$$
(8.14)

for  $\Psi$ , the standard approach in mathematics is to determine the Fourier transform of L(t):

$$L(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} L(t)e^{-2\pi i f t}dt$$
(8.15)

inserting Eq. (8.14) gives

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(\tau) C(t-\tau) e^{-2\pi i f t} d\tau dt$$
(8.16)

change order of integration

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(t-\tau) e^{-2\pi i f t} dt d\tau$$
(8.17)

substitute  $t - \tau \longrightarrow t'$ 

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(t') e^{-2\pi i f(t'+\tau)} dt' d\tau$$
(8.18)



Therefore

$$L(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(t') e^{-2\pi i f(t'+\tau)} dt' d\tau$$
(8.18)

move constant outside of the inner integral and drop the prime

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(\tau) e^{-2\pi i f \tau} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(t) e^{-2\pi i f t} dt d\tau$$
(8.19)

since the inner integral is a constant this gives

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2\pi i f \tau} \Psi(\tau) d\tau \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(t) e^{-2\pi i f t} dt$$
(8.20)

which is the product of the Fourier transforms of C and  $\Psi$ :

$$L(f) = \Psi(f) \cdot C(f) \tag{8.21}$$

The Fourier transform of L is the product of the Fourier transforms of  $\Psi$  and C.

This is just the convolution theorem of Fourier theory.



Blandford & McKee (1982): Since L(f) and C(f) can be measured, we can determine  $\Psi(f)$  and then do an inverse FT:

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(f) e^{+2\pi i f t} df$$
(8.22)

so we can in principle measure  $\Psi(f)$ .

In practice: Fourier approach does not work.

Reason: Sparse sampling of lightcurves

 $\implies$  Potential of reverberation mapping has not yet been realized!

What *is* possible is to determine size of BLR from reverberation mapping



To get BLR size from reverberation, work in time domain and determine cross correlation of L(t) and C(t):

$$\mathsf{CCF}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} L(t)C(t-\tau)dt \tag{8.23}$$

insert L(t) from Eq. (8.14):

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(t-\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(t-\tau') \Psi(\tau') d\tau' dt$$
(8.24)

change order of integration

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(\tau') \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(t-\tau) C(t-\tau') dt \, d\tau'$$
 (8.25)

and introduce the auto correlation function, ACF,

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(\tau') \mathsf{ACF}(\tau - \tau') d\tau'$$
(8.26)

where

$$\mathsf{ACF}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(t)C(t-\tau)dt \tag{8.27}$$





CCF has a peak at the lag for which C(t) and L(t) match best  $\implies$  Based on the CCF we can measure the size of the BLR.

In practice, one has to interpolate C(t) and L(t) to determine CCF using a discretized version of the integrals shown previously.

Light curves and CCFs with respect to 1350Å UV continuum for NGC 5548 (Clavel et al., 1992; Peterson, 2001).

#### **Reverberation Mapping**

8–18







(Peterson et al., 2004, Fig. 3)

As expected: broadest lines vary fastest.

Also found: higher ionization lines vary fastest  $\implies$  BLR has stratified ionization structure







(Peterson, 2006, Fig. 6)

The photoionization parameter was  $U \propto L/(D^2 n_e)$  (Eq. 7.20) so for U,  $n_e$  constant, we expect  $D \propto L^{0.5}$ . This is roughly what is observed!

U = const. is expected since AGN spectra are all similar, so conditions in BLR are similar everywhere ("locally optimally emitting clouds").







(Kaspi et al., 2005, Fig. 2)

In detail, things are more complicated, and slope is steeper than 0.5:  $R \propto L^{0.67\pm0.05}$ 

 $\implies$  ionization parameter, density, and spectral shape depend somewhat on L.





# What is the BLR?, I



NGC 4151 (Sy 1; Arav et al., 1998, Fig. 1)

**Classical assumption: BLR** is collection of cold clouds embedded in hot gas ("two phase medium", Krolik, McKee & Tarter 1981)  $\implies$  We expect to see evidence for emission from individual clouds. Problem: BLR line profiles are always smooth!  $\implies$  Does the BLR consist of many small clouds?





# What is the BLR?, II



(NGC 4395 Laor et al., 2006)

Observations show always smooth BLR profiles, even for lowest luminosity AGN such as NGC 4395 (the lowest luminosity Sy 1 known)

⇒ this is only possible if there is a very large number of clouds such that it is better to speak about a "clumpy" gas.

For NGC 4151, Arav et al. (1998) find that there must be  $\gtrsim 3 \times 10^7$  clouds, for NGC 4395,  $> 10^5$  clouds are required (Laor et al., 2006). Since  $R_{\rm BLR, \ 4395} \sim 10^{14}$  cm from reverberation,  $R_{\rm cloud, \ 4395} \lesssim 10^{12}$  cm, i.e., much smaller than stars.

The BLR cannot consist of individual clouds, it rather is a smooth(ish) gas cloud surrounding the BH.





# What is the BLR?, III





NGC 1097 (Sy1; Storchi-Bergmann et al., 2003, Fig. 8)





# What is the BLR?, IV



In some AGN BLR profi les are double humped  $\implies$  is BLR the outer edge of accretion disk?

NGC 1097 (Sy1; Storchi-Bergmann et al., 2003, Fig. 8)





# Winds



(Leighly & Moore, 2004)

While disk emission explains part of BLR emission, not all features can be explained: Sy 1 show asymmetric line profiles: Higher ionization lines are shifted bluewards  $\implies$  Outflow!





# Winds

There are also findings that the line blueshift increases with AGN luminosity: evidence for radiatively driven outflows?

Is the BLR an accretion disk wind?

Driving mechanisms:

• Electromagnetic?

related to jet?

• Radiatively driven?

related to high AGN luminosity





Regardless of the detailed interpretation of the BLR, measurements of the BLR allow for a (statistical) determination of the mass of the Black Hole:

The virial theorem of mechanics states:

$$2T = m\Delta V^2 = \eta \cdot G \frac{mM_{\mathsf{BH}}}{r_0} = U \tag{8.28}$$

where m mass of a test particle,  $r_0$ : characteristic BLR radius,  $\Delta V$ : velocity dispersion, and  $\eta$ : geometry dependent factor.

Since  $r_0$  and  $\Delta V$  can be measured from reverberation mapping and the line width:

$$M_{\mathsf{BH}} = f \cdot \frac{r \Delta V^2}{G} \tag{8.29}$$

where f is a geometry dependent normalization factor, obtained from calibration measurements.

Note: For virial theorem to apply, motion of BLR must be dominated by gravity.

#### BH Masses

8 - 28

8–28

To derive the virial theorem, we look at a system of particles of mass  $m_i$ . The acceleration on particle *i* by all other particles is

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{Gm_j(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i)}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i|^3}$$
(8.30)

... scalar product with  $m_i \mathbf{r}_i$ 

$$m_i \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{Gm_i m_j \mathbf{r}_i \cdot (\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i)}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i|^3}$$
(8.31)

...since

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{r}_i^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i) = \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_i$$
(8.32)

... therefore Eq. (8.31)

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(m_i \mathbf{r}_i^2) - m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i^2 = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{Gm_i m_j \mathbf{r}_i \cdot (\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i)}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i|^3}$$
(8.33)

Summing over all particles in the system gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{d}t^{2}}(m_{i}\mathbf{r}_{i}^{2}) - \sum_{i}m_{i}\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i}^{2} = \sum_{i}\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{Gm_{i}m_{j}\mathbf{r}_{i}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{r}_{i})}{|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{r}_{i}|^{3}}$$
(8.34)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} Gm_i m_j \frac{\mathbf{r}_i \cdot (\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i)}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^3} + \sum_{j} \sum_{i \neq j} Gm_j m_i \frac{\mathbf{r}_j \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i|^3} \right)$$
(8.35)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} Gm_{i}m_{j} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{r}_{i}^{2}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|^{3}} + \sum_{j} \sum_{i \neq j} Gm_{j}m_{i} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}^{2}}{|\mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{r}_{i}|^{3}} \right)$$
(8.36)

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j\\i\neq j}} \frac{Gm_i m_j}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}$$
(8.37)

Thus, identifying the total kinetic energy, T, and the gravitational potential energy, U, gives

$$2T - U = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \sum_{i} m_i \mathbf{r}_i^2 = \mathbf{0}$$
(8.38)

in statistical equilibrium.

Thus we find the virial theorem:  $T=\!\frac{1}{2}|U|$ 







Onken et al. (2004): Calibration of reverberation mapping based on other AGN mass determinations  $(M-\sigma$ -relationship, see later):

 $f = 5.5 \pm 1.9$ 

⇒ Masses determined from reverberation mapping are exact to  $\sim$ 35%.

#### **BH Masses**







Since  $M \propto r\Delta V^2$ , for a single object (M = const.), we expect  $r \propto \Delta V^{-1/2}$ .

Observations: Line width versus lag scales as

$$\log V_{\rm rms} = a + b \log c\tau \qquad (8.39)$$

with b = -1/2, as expected! solid lines in the figure

Masses obtained from lag and V (Peterson & Wandel, 2000):

- NGC 7469: 8.4 imes 10<sup>6</sup>  $M_{\odot}$
- NGC 5548: 5.9  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup>  $M_{\odot}$
- $\bullet$  3C 390.3: 3.2 imes 10<sup>8</sup>  $M_{\odot}$

(Peterson, 2001)

#### BH Masses







Until today: 36 AGN with reverberation measurements. Mass-luminosity relationship: typical effi ciency for AGN accretion is 10%.

#### **BH Masses**

Peterson (2006; Xian AGN workshop)

Arav, N., Barlow, T. A., Laor, A., Sargent, W. L. W., & Blandford, R. D., 1998, MNRAS, 297, 990

Blandford, R. D., & McKee, C. F., 1982, ApJ, 255, 419

Clavel, J., et al., 1992, ApJ, 393, 113

Elvis, M., 2000, ApJ, 545, 63

Kaspi, S., Maoz, D., Netzer, H., Peterson, B. M., Vestergaard, M., & Jannuzi, B. T., 2005, ApJ, 629, 61

Kollatschny, W., Bischoff, K., & Dietrich, M., 2000, A&A, 361, 901

Krolik, J. H., McKee, C. F., & Tarter, C. B., 1981, ApJ, 249, 422

Laor, A., Barth, A. J., Ho, L. C., & Filippenko, A. V., 2006, ApJ, 636, 83

Leighly, K. M., & Moore, J. R., 2004, ApJ, 611, 107

Onken, C. A., Ferrarese, L., Merritt, D., Peterson, B. M., Pogge, R. W., Vestergaard, M., & Wandel, A., 2004, ApJ, 615, 645

Peterson, B. M., 2001, in Advanced Lectures on the Starburst-AGN Connection, ed. I. Aretxaga, D. Kunth, R. Mújica, (Singapore: World Scientific), 3

Peterson, B. M., 2006, in Physics of Active Galactic Nuclei at All Scales, ed. D. Alloin, R. Johnson, P. Lira, Vol. 693, (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer), 77

Peterson, B. M., et al., 2004, ApJ, 613, 682

Peterson, B. M., & Wandel, A., 2000, ApJ, 521, L95

Storchi-Bergmann, T., et al., 2003, ApJ, 598, 956

vanden Berk, D., Yip, C., Connolly, A., Jester, S., & Stoughton, C., 2004, in AGN Physics with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, ed. G. T. Richards, P. B. Hall, 21