




6-1

Active Galactic Nuclei



6-2

Introduction



NGC 3783: *linear* intensity scale




logarithmic intensity scale



6-2


Introduction



NGC 3783: *linear* intensity scale

6-2

Introduction



NGC 3783: *linear* intensity scale




logarithmic intensity scale

Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN): Galaxies with centers as bright as a whole galaxy



NGC 1068 (M77)
(Nordic Optical Telescope)

1918: H. Curtis



HST

1918: Heber D. Curtis: "[M87 exhibits] a curious straight ray... apparently connected with the nucleus by a thin line of matter".
⇒ M87 contains an optical jet


History



3

6-4

1908: E. Fath



1908: Edward A. Fath: Emission lines in NGC 1068 are similar to those seen in planetary nebulae.

Part of his dissertation!

Differences: very high degree of ionization, large line widths

Optical spectrum of NGC 1068
(Garcia-Lorenzo et al., 1999, Fig. 4)

History

2

6-5

1943: C. Seyfert

NUCLEAR EMISSION IN SPIRAL NEBULAE*

CARL K. SEYFERT†

ABSTRACT

Spectrograms of dispersion 37-200 Å/mm have been obtained of six extragalactic nebulae with high-excitation nuclear emission lines superposed on a normal G-type spectrum. All the stronger emission lines from $\lambda 3727$ to $\lambda 7469$ in the series like NGC 1027 appear in the spectra of the two brightest spirals, NGC 1068 and NGC 415.

Apparent relative intensities of the emission lines in the six spirals were reduced to true relative intensities. Color temperatures of the continua of each spiral were determined for this purpose. The observed relative intensities of the emission lines exhibit large variations from nebula to nebula. Profiles of the emission lines show that all the lines are broadened, presumably by Doppler motion, by amounts varying up to 8300 km/sec for the total width of the hydrogen lines in NGC 3516 and NGC 7469. The profiles of the Balmer lines in NGC 1068 and NGC 7469 are similar to those of the Balmer lines in the total profiles of the Balmer lines in NGC 7469. The profiles of the Balmer lines in the other spirals show evidence of wide wings. Some of the lines exhibit strong asymmetries, usually in the sense that the violet side of the line is stronger than the red.

In NGC 7469 the absorption K line of Ca II is shallow and 50 Å wide, at least twice as wide as in normal spirals.

Absorption minima are found in six of the stronger emission lines in NGC 1068; in one line in NGC 415. A comparison of the profiles of the emission lines in the six spirals with the profiles of the emission lines in the nuclei of six planetary nebulae suggests that these absorption minima arise from the G-type spectra which the spirals superpose.


The maximum width of the Balmer emission lines seems to increase with the absolute magnitude of the nucleus and with the ratio of the light in the nucleus to the total light of the nebula. The emission lines in the brightest diffuse nebulae in other extragalactic objects do not appear to have wide emission lines similar to those found in the nuclei of emission spirals.

(Seyfert, 1943)

1943: Carl Seyfert: There is a class of spiral galaxies with optical emission lines
⇒ Seyfert Galaxies

History

4



The powerful radio galaxy Cygnus A

6-9

1959: L. Woltjer

EMISSION NUCLEI IN GALAXIES

L. WOLTJER*

Yerkes Observatory, University of Chicago

Received February 16, 1959

ABSTRACT

Some galaxies which show wide emission lines in the spectra of their nuclei are discussed. It is shown that, on statistical grounds, the nuclear emission must last for several times 10^8 years at least. The nuclei are extremely narrow, of the order of 100 parsecs, and, if a normal mass-to-light ratio applies, extremely massive. The width of the emission lines, which indicates velocities of a few thousand kilometers per second, is probably due to fast motions, circular or random, in the gravitational fields of the nuclei. The high star density in the nuclei may provide a source of excitation. In the nucleus of our own Galaxy the radio source Sagittarius gives evidence of strong magnetic fields and large amounts of relativistic particles. A mass of a few times 10^6 solar masses is needed to prevent disintegration of the source. The Andromeda Nebula has a nucleus with a somewhat smaller mass. The occurrence of dense nuclei may be a common characteristic of many galaxies.

(Woltjer, 1959)

1959: Lodewijk Woltjer: Objects must have very large masses.

History

7



6-8

1954: W. Baade and R. Minkowski



W. Baade (Mt. Wilson Obs.)

IDENTIFICATION OF THE RADIO SOURCES IN CASSIOPEIA, CYGNUS A, AND PUPPIS A

W. BAADE AND R. MINKOWSKI

MOUNT WILSON AND PALOMAR OBSERVATORIES

CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Received June 19, 1953

ABSTRACT

The radio sources in Cassiopeia and Puppis A are identified with a new type of galactic emission nebula. The outstanding features of these nebulosities are very large internal random velocities. The radio source Cygnus A is an extragalactic object, two galaxies in actual collision.

Only very few individual sources of cosmic radio emission have been identified with conspicuous astronomical objects. Although the sources in Cassiopeia² and Cygnus A³

(Baade & Minkowski, 1954)

1954: Walter Baade and Rudolph Minkowski: Bright radio sources have galaxies as optical counterparts

Cyg A: 2nd brightest radio source on the sky.

History


6



3C273 (4 m Myall telescope, NOAO/AURA/NSF)



1963: M. Schmidt




M. Schmidt (Caltech) 3C273 (Rondi et al., Pic du Midi)
 1963: Maarten Schmidt: 3C273

History




1963: M. Schmidt



M. Schmidt (Caltech) 3C273 (Rondi et al., Pic du Midi)
 1963: Maarten Schmidt: 3C273 has $z = 0.158 \implies$ objects are far away!
 $z = 0.158$ corresponds to $d = 1.74 \text{ Gpc}$ (3 billion ly)
 shortly after this: 1963: J. Greenstein and Th. Matthews: 3C48 has $z = 0.368$

History




Seyfert Galaxies, I

W. Keel

Seyfert Galaxies: point-like sources in the centers of galaxies, normally galaxy is detectable; two types: Seyfert 1 galaxies and Seyfert 2 galaxies.

The Zoo



Seyfert Galaxies, II

Seyfert 1 galaxies


- broad allowed lines (e.g., from H), with widths corresponding up to 10^4 km s^{-1} from a medium of high density ($n_e \gtrsim 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$).
- Thin forbidden lines (e.g., [O III 5007]), FWHM \sim few $\cdot 10^2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from a thin medium ($n_e \sim 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \dots 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$).
 Velocity width from Doppler effect: $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = v/c$.

The Zoo






Seyfert 2




- Spectrum of the Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 1068:
- weak continuum (compared to Seyfert 1s).
 - thin forbidden lines, \sim few 10^2 km s $^{-1}$.
 - no broad lines

(García-Lorenzo et al., 1999, Fig. 4)




A. Bridle (priv. comm.)

Radio image of 3C175 ($z = 0.768$):
A typical FR 2 Galaxy




Radio image of M84 (3C272.1):
A typical Fanaroff Type 1
Galaxy

Laing & Bridle (1987); VLA 4885 MHz,
 $134'' \times 170''$




X-ray: NASA/CXC/MIT/H.Marshall et al. Radio: F.Zhou, F.Owen (NRAO), J.Biretta (STScI)
Optical: NASA/STScI/UMBC/E.Perlman et al.

Since the 1960s: multi wavelength astronomy




Galaxy M87



M87 – R. Gendler

<http://www.robendlerastropics.com/M87NM.html>




Unification: Assumes physics of all AGN is the same, phenomenology is due to different lines of sight.

(Urry & Padovani, 1995, Important: length scale is not linear!)



X-Ray Spectra, II




Cyg X-1 (Hanke, et al., 2008)

**Spectral shape of Seyferts is very similar to Galactic Black Holes ⇒
Same physical mechanism (=Comptonization) responsible!**

Seyfert Galaxies



X-Ray Spectra, I




(PG 1416-129: de Kool et al., 1994, Williams et al., 1992, Staubert & Maisack, 1996; NGC 4151: Maisack 1991, 1993)

Note: NGC 4151 not corrected for interstellar absorption.


Seyfert Galaxies

- Physical properties:
- Accretion disc:** $r \sim 10^{-3}$ pc, $n \sim 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $kT \sim 50 \text{ eV} \cdot r^{-3/4}$, $v \sim 0.3c$ at the inner edge.
 - Broad Line Region (BLR):** $r \sim 0.01-0.1$ pc (=light days or less), $n \sim 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $v \sim 1000-5000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $T \sim 10^4 \text{ K}$
 - Torus:** $r \sim 1- \text{few } 10 \text{ pc}$, $n \sim 10^3-10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, T : cold
 - Narrow Line Region (NLR):** $r \sim 100-1000 \text{ pc}$, $n \sim 10^3-10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $v \sim \text{few } 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $T \sim 10^4 \text{ K}$



Comptonization, I

Thomson scattering: initial and final wavelength are identical.
 But: in reality: light consists of photons
 ⇒ Scattering: photon changes direction
 ⇒ Momentum change
 ⇒ Energy change!
 This is a quantum picture
 ⇒ Compton scattering.



Dynamics of scattering gives energy/wavelength change:

$$E' = \frac{E}{1 + \frac{E}{m_e c^2}(1 - \cos \theta)} \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda' - \lambda = \frac{h}{m_e c}(1 - \cos \theta) \quad (6.1)$$

Averaging over θ , for $E \ll m_e c^2$:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} \approx -\frac{E}{m_e c^2} \quad (6.2)$$

For thermal photons: energy transfer onto electron possible:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{4kT - E}{m_e c^2} \quad (6.3)$$


Seyfert Galaxies





6-26

Comptonization, II



Monte Carlo simulation shows: Spectrum is \Rightarrow Power law with exponential cut-off (here: with additional "Wien hump").



Seyfert Galaxies

4



6-27

Comptonization, III



AGN X-Ray Spectrum:
 • Comptonization of soft X-rays from accretion disk in hot corona ($T \sim 10^8$ K): power law continuum.



Seyfert Galaxies

5



6-27

Comptonization, IV



AGN X-Ray Spectrum:

- Comptonization of soft X-rays from accretion disk in hot corona ($T \sim 10^8$ K): power law continuum.
- Thomson scattering of power law photons in disk: Compton Reflection Hump



Seyfert Galaxies

6



6-27

Comptonization, V




AGN X-Ray Spectrum:

- Comptonization of soft X-rays from accretion disk in hot corona ($T \sim 10^8$ K): power law continuum.
- Thomson scattering of power law photons in disk: Compton Reflection Hump
- Photoabsorption of power law photons in disk: [fluorescent Fe K \$\alpha\$ Line](#) at ~ 6.4 keV

Seyfert Galaxies

7

Seyfert X-Ray Spectra, I



Comptonization explains broad-band Seyfert spectra very well

Example: Fits of Comptonization models to broad-band spectrum of Seyfert 1 galaxy NGC 4151 showing all three components:

- $kT_e \sim 88^{+55}_{-26}$ keV
- $\dot{N}_H \sim 7 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $13 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, respectively
- Reflection factor: $\Omega/2\pi = 0.43$ (assumed; consistent with Fe line, but not significantly detected in these data [but in other AGN]).


Note strong absorption present in Seyfert 1 X-ray spectral

(Zdziarski et al., 1996)

Seyfert Galaxies

8

Seyfert X-Ray Spectra, II




(Average Sy 1 and Sy 2 spectra from BeppoSAX; Malizia et al., 2003, Fig. 4)

Spectra of Sy 1 and Sy 2 are very similar, except for lower \dot{N}_H in Sy 2

Seyfert Galaxies

9

Variability



AGN are strongly variable
 Timescale at $r_{\text{ISCO}} = 6GM/c^2$:

$$t \sim \frac{2\pi r_{\text{ISCO}}}{\sqrt{GM/r_{\text{ISCO}}}} \propto \frac{r^{3/2}}{M^{1/2}} \propto M \quad (6.4)$$



so less variable for higher BH mass.

(MGC-6-30-15; McHardy et al., 2005)

Seyfert Galaxies

10

Relativistic Lines and BH Paradigm, I




AGN X-Ray Spectrum:

- Comptonization of soft X-rays from accretion disk in hot corona ($T \sim 10^8$ K): power law continuum.
- Thomson scattering of power law photons in disk: Compton Reflection Hump
- Photoabsorption of power law photons in disk: fluorescent Fe $K\alpha$ Line at ~ 6.4 keV

Seyfert Galaxies

11



Credit: T. Dauser

Discrete 6.4 keV line is shifted and broadened across the disk



Seyfert Galaxies

14



Relativistic Lines and BH Paradigm, III

6-33



Total observed line profile affected by


- grav. Redshift

Seyfert Galaxies

13

Relativistic Lines and BH Paradigm, IV

6-33



Total observed line profile affected by

- grav. Redshift
- Light bending
- rel. Doppler shift


Seyfert Galaxies

14



Relativistic Lines and BH Paradigm, V

6-33



Total observed line profile affected by

- grav. Redshift
- Light bending
- rel. Doppler shift
- emissivity profile




Seyfert Galaxies

15



6-33

Relativistic Lines and BH Paradigm, VI



Total observed line profile affected by

- grav. Redshift
- Light bending
- rel. Doppler shift
- emissivity profile
- spin of black hole


Seyfert Galaxies

16



6-34

ASCA: MCG-6-30-15, I



Tanaka et al. (1995): time averaged ASCA spectrum of Seyfert 1 MCG-6-30-

15: line skew symmetric

⇒ Schwarzschild black hole.


Seyfert Galaxies

17




6-35

ASCA: MCG-6-30-15, II



Tanaka et al. (1995): time averaged ASCA spectrum: line skew symmetric

⇒ Schwarzschild black hole.



Iwasawa et al. (1996): "deep minimum state": extremely broad line

⇒ Kerr Black Hole.

Later confirmed with BeppoSAX (Guainazzi et al., 1999) and RXTE (Lee et al., 1999).


Seyfert Galaxies

18



6-36

XMM: MCG-6-30-15, I



pure PL fit

Better modeling of soft excess and reflection ⇒ Fe K α line has extreme width and skewed profile.

Components of the final fit.

⇒ Line emissivity is strongly concentrated towards the inner edge of the disk ($\epsilon \propto r^{-4.6}$, cannot be explained with standard α -disk)

(XMM-Newton, June 2000, 100 ksec; Wilms et al., 2001)

Seyfert Galaxies

19

**XMM: MCG-6-30-15, II**


2001 July/August: 315 ksec
observation
(Fabian et al., 2002)

- Strong narrow line
- broad line clearly present
- emissivity profile very steep for radii close to r_{in}

$$I_{Fe\ K\alpha} \propto r^{-5.5 \pm 0.3} \text{ for } r < 6.1^{+0.8}_{-0.5} r_g,$$


$$\propto r^{-2.7 \pm 0.1} \text{ outside that;}$$

Fabian & Vaughan (2003); confirms
Wilms et al. (2001)



Fabian et al. (2002)

Seyfert Galaxies


**XMM: MCG-6-30-15, III**

**Brenneman & Reynolds
(2006): Angular momentum
of BH in MCG-6-30-15: $a =$
 $0.989^{+0.009}_{-0.002}$.**

Assuming no emission from inside the inner-most stable circular orbit, strongly constrained geometry.

Suzaku (2006 Jan; ~ 350 ksec; Miniutti et al., 2007)

Seyfert Galaxies


**Other Sources**

(Iwasawa et al., 2004, Figs. 3,4)

Line profile variability in NGC 3516 \implies Corotating flare? ($7r_g \lesssim r \lesssim 16r_g$)

If interpretation is pushed further, gives $M \sim (1 \dots 5) \times 10^7 M_\odot$.

Seyfert Galaxies

**Other Sources**

(Porquet & Reeves, 2003, Fig. 3)

XMM data from 2001

Q0056-363 (broad line radio-quiet quasar, $L_X > 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$):

Fe $K\alpha$ has FWHM 24500 km s^{-1} , EW 275 eV

Q0056-363 is highest luminosity RQ-QSO with broad Fe $K\alpha$ line.


(Matt et al., 2005, Fig. 1)

comparison 2003 vs. 2001 data

Seyfert Galaxies



Other Sources



(Longinotti et al., 2003)

IRAS 13349+2436:

- Model either 2 broad emission lines or
- relativistic line from Fe XXIII/XXIV plus narrow absorption feature

Line shape can be rather complex!


Other examples include blueshifted lines, e.g., in Mkn 205 (Reeves et al., 2001) or Mkn 766.

Seyfert Galaxies

24



Absorption or Lines?



(1H0707-495; Fabian et al., 2004)

Narrow Line Sy1: Strong absorption or a relativistic line from a reflection dominated spectrum both describe the data equally well!

(IRAS 13224-3809; Bolter et al., 2003)

Similar results have been found by Pounds et al. in a variety of sources. . .


But: strong absorption models contradict observations where data > 10keV available.

Debated Cases

1



Narrow Lines




(NGC 4258; Reynolds et al. 2004)

Narrow Lines

1



Narrow Lines








Statistics for PG-QSO: 20/38 show Fe $K\alpha$ line, of these 3 have broad line (Jiménez-Bailón et al., 2005)

Bianchi et al. (2004, Fig. 4)
[Sample of Seyferts with simultaneous BeppoSAX observations.]

Narrow Lines

2



Fanaroff-Riley Type 1: asymmetric jets with wide opening angle ending in plumes

M84 (3C272.1) (Laing & Bridle, 1987):
VLA 4885 MHz, 134" x 170"; see also
www.jb.man.ac.uk/atlas/other/3C272P1.html

Fanaroff-Riley Type 2: powerful lobe dominated doubles; jets often one-sided

A. Bridle, www.cv.nrao.edu/~abridle/images.htm

Radio Interferometry: Longer baselines and higher frequencies yield higher resolution




Image courtesy of MPIfR, NRAO/AUI and Earth image courtesy of the SeaWiFS Project NASA/GSFC and ORBIMAGE



6-54

Flat-Spectrum Radio Sources: Blazars




Image courtesy: U. Bach, MPIfR
0716+714: BL Lac at $z = 0.3$ (Nilsson et al., 2008)
Highly variable, core dominated object
"Fried-egg morphology": end-on view of a radio lobe?

Almost all flux density is concentrated within a few milliarcseconds-size compact jet!




Image Courtesy: MOJAVE

"[There are] roughly equal numbers of steep-spectrum extended double-lobed sources and flat-spectrum objects that are unresolved on arc-sec scales." (Zensus, 1997)


Radio-Loud AGN

10



6-55

Example: Cygnus A



Morphology of radio galaxies: matter is transported along two channels (jets) from the center of the system (core) to the outer regions (here: lobes): What is the speed?

Radio-Loud AGN

11



6-56

Apparent Superluminal Motion, I

VLBI resolution 1 mas




Kadler et al. (2008)

3C 111: Apparent speed of jet: $\sim 5c$

Superluminal motion: Apparent velocities of jet features ("blobs") in many AGN jets are $v > c$.

First discovered in 1971 in 3C279 (Cohen et al., 1971; Whitney et al., 1971).



Radio-Loud AGN


12



6-57

Apparent Superluminal Motion, II

Movies: Examples of superluminal motion in AGN jets




<http://www.physics.purdue.edu/astro/MOJAVE/movies.html>

Radio-Loud AGN

13

Superluminal Motion Demo:


www.physics.purdue.edu/MOJAVE/superluminal.swf



t_2 : First photons and blob travel towards earth.

Superluminal Motion Demo:


www.physics.purdue.edu/MOJAVE/superluminal.swf



$t_1 = 0$: Blob is ejected from core and emits first photon.

Superluminal Motion Demo:

www.physics.purdue.edu/MOJAVE/superluminal.swf



t_3 : Blob almost keeps the pace of the photons.

Superluminal Motion Demo:

www.physics.purdue.edu/MOJAVE/superluminal.swf

Object traveling at light speed

Receives photons

Earth

Telescope View

Apparent Speed: 6.30c
Apparent Angular Speed: 0.12 mas/yr

Viewing Angle (degrees) [16]

Lorentz Factor [10]

Redshift [Angular Size, Distance, etc.] [0.13]

Simulation Speed [5]

Start/Pause

Stop/Reset

t_4 : First photons arrive at telescope. Observer starts to take the time.

Superluminal Motion Demo:

www.physics.purdue.edu/MOJAVE/superluminal.swf

Object traveling at light speed

Receives photons

Earth

Telescope View

Apparent Speed: 6.30c
Apparent Angular Speed: 0.12 mas/yr

Viewing Angle (degrees) [16]

Lorentz Factor [10]

Redshift [Angular Size, Distance, etc.] [0.13]


Simulation Speed [5]

Start/Pause

Stop/Reset

t_5 : The last photons have a much shorter way to travel and arrive quickly. Observer measures superluminal motion on the sky!

Apparent Superluminal Motion, VIII



Consider blob moving towards us with speed v and angle ϕ with respect to line of sight, which emits signals at t_0 and $t_1 = t_0 + \Delta t_e$

Light travel time: Observer sees signals separated by


$$\Delta t_o = \Delta t_e - \Delta t_e \frac{v}{c} \cos \phi = \left(1 - \frac{v}{c} \cos \phi\right) \Delta t_e \quad (6.5)$$

Observed distance traveled in plane of sky:

$$\Delta \ell_{\perp} = v \Delta t_e \sin \phi \quad (6.6)$$

Radio-Loud AGN

Apparent Superluminal Motion, IX



Apparent velocity deduced from observations:


$$v_{app} = \frac{\Delta \ell_{\perp}}{\Delta t_o} = \frac{v \Delta t_e \sin \phi}{\left(1 - \frac{v}{c} \cos \phi\right) \Delta t_e} = \frac{v \sin \phi}{\left(1 - \frac{v}{c} \cos \phi\right)} \quad (6.7)$$

\implies For v/c large and ϕ small: $v_{app} > c$

Radio-Loud AGN



Apparent Superluminal Motion, X



Radio-Loud AGN

21



Relativistic Boosting, I

If jet plasma is moving at relativistic speeds, we have to consider also other relativistic effects.

Remember that (Doppler!)

$$\nu = \frac{1}{\Delta t_A} = \frac{\nu'}{\gamma \left(1 - \frac{v}{c} \cos \theta\right)} \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \quad (6.8)$$

This defines the relativistic Doppler factor

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{\gamma \left(1 - \frac{v}{c} \cos \theta\right)} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}{1 - \beta \cos \theta} \quad (6.9)$$

(difference to classical Doppler factor is only the γ factor).


The Doppler factor is a strong function of the aspect angle and can become very large for $v \rightarrow c$.

Radio-Loud AGN

22



Relativistic Boosting, II



For $\theta \sim 1^\circ - 2^\circ$, the Doppler factor can approach values of 100 or higher.

Radio-Loud AGN

23



Relativistic Boosting, III

One can show (i.e., Rybicki & Lightman, chap. 4.9) that S_ν/ν^3 is invariant under Lorentz transformation, where S_ν is the flux density.

Therefore, observed intensity of a moving blob:

$$\frac{I(\nu_{\text{obs}})}{\nu_{\text{obs}}^3} = \frac{I(\nu_{\text{em}})}{\nu_{\text{em}}^3} \quad (6.10)$$

and

$$I(\nu_{\text{obs}}) = \nu_{\text{obs}}^3 \frac{I(\nu_{\text{em}})}{\nu_{\text{em}}^3} = \mathcal{D}^3 I(\nu_{\text{em}}) \quad (6.11)$$

Specifically, for a blob with a power law spectrum ($I(\nu) = A\nu^\alpha$):

$$I(\nu_{\text{obs}}) = \mathcal{D}^3 A \nu_{\text{em}}^\alpha = \mathcal{D}^3 A \mathcal{D}^{-\alpha} \nu_{\text{obs}}^\alpha \quad (6.12)$$

$$I(\nu_{\text{obs}}) = \mathcal{D}^{3-\alpha} I(\nu_{\text{em}}) \quad (6.13)$$

Even for relatively modest relativistic velocities, e.g., $0.97c$ ($\gamma \simeq 4$), forward flux can be boosted by a factor 1000, while it is reduced by a factor 1000 in the backward direction!

Radio-Loud AGN

24




Jet One-Sidedness, I

Take a source emitting blobs symmetrically in two directions.

From Eq. (6.12) the ratio of fluxes from the blobs is

$$\frac{F_1}{F_2} = \left(\frac{1 + \beta \cos \phi}{1 - \beta \cos \phi} \right)^{3-\alpha} \quad (6.14)$$

Even for mildly relativistic speeds and large angles, features on the approaching side are always significantly brighter than on the receding side.





Jet can be expressed as a series of blobs. But the number of blobs observed scales as the Doppler factor, such that for jets:

$$\frac{F_1}{F_2} = \left(\frac{1 + \beta \cos \phi}{1 - \beta \cos \phi} \right)^{2-\alpha} \quad (6.15)$$

One sidedness of jets is a relativistic effect!





Jet One-Sidedness, II



<http://www.physics.purdue.edu/astro/MOJAVE/>




Kinematics of Relativistic Jets, II



- **MOJAVE: Monitoring Of Jets in Active galactic nuclei with VLBA Experiments;** (Lister et al., 2009, and therein)
- Wavelength $\lambda = 2$ cm (15 GHz)
- Statistically complete sub-sample: All flat-spectrum ($\alpha < 0.5$) sources whose compact flux density ever reached 1.5 Jy (2 Jy for southern sources)
- Extended sample includes all known gamma-ray blazars (newly detected *Fermi* sources to be added as of January 2009)
- Results, images and movies at <http://www.physics.purdue.edu/astro/MOJAVE/>
- Observing strategy optimized for each individual source (fast sources are observed every month, slower sources less frequently)



Kinematics of Relativistic Jets, III




MOJAVE Results:

- Distribution of observed velocities typically between 0 and 15c: Quasars: tail up to $\beta_{app} \sim 34$; BL Lacs and galaxies: mainly $\beta \lesssim 6$
- In the same jet, different components tend to have similar speeds; but there are exceptions
- In many sources, bent trajectories are seen, which do not back-extrapolate to the core: no cannon-balls!
- Observed pattern speed does not necessarily agree with beam speed
- Most of the flux-density originates in still unresolved regions smaller than 0.05 mas
- High-energy (gamma-ray) emitters have faster and more compact jets



(Kellermann et al., 2004; Kovalev et al., 2005; Cohen et al., 2007)



High Energy Radiation from Jets, I



Sneak-preview of "blazar sequence" (Fiorucci et al., 2004)





High Energy Radiation from Jets, VI

Blazars are broadband emitters and the most natural targets for multi-wavelength astronomy!


The expression *Blazar* was first used in 1978 to express that optically violently variable quasars (OVVs) and BL Lac objects share their extreme variability characteristics.

Although first detected in optical and radio, a large fraction of their total energy output is at high energies: hard X-rays, γ -rays, and up to the very high energy (VHE) regime.


The *Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory (CGRO)* with its main detector EGRET revolutionized blazar research by the finding that blazars are the dominant population of extragalactic gamma-ray sources.

The short variability time scales (days!) indicate that the gamma-ray emission comes from beamed plasma (jets) to avoid photon-photon pair production in otherwise too dense gamma photon fields.

Radio-Loud AGN



Prototypical Example: 3C 273




3C 273:

- First detected and brightest (and probably best studied) quasar
- Bright throughout the whole electromagnetic spectrum
- Prominent "big blue bump"
- Huge public database: Türler et al. (1999), Soldi et al. (2008)

(Türler et al., 1999)

Radio-Loud AGN

Prototypical Example: 3C 273




- Radio: low-frequency emission from large-scale jet; high-frequencies from compact jet (flat spectrum in F_ν)
- up to IR: synchrotron emission from compact jet (possibly plus dust component (dusty torus?))
- "big blue bump" in the optical: accretion disk (?)
- X-rays and up: inverse Compton emission (possibly from multiple seed photon fields)

Radio-Loud AGN

37

Broadband Emission Models, I



Geometry in leptonic models (Sikora et al., 1994)

General agreement that the low-energy component is jet-synchrotron emission.

Models for production of high energy photons:


- Leptonic models: inverse Compton scattering of soft seed photons by the relativistic electrons responsible for the synchrotron emission. Seed photons are
 - the synchrotron photons themselves (SSC, e.g. Tavecchio et al., 1998), or
 - external, e.g., from the accretion disk or the BLR (EC, e.g. Sikora et al., 1994)
- Hadronic models: reactions involving high-energy protons (hadron-hadron or photon-hadron collisions, pair production and subsequent e^+e^- cascades (e.g. Mannheim, 1993))

Hadronic models are attractive because they can explain the observed ultra-high energetic (UHE) cosmic rays but they have problems explaining the observed X-ray spectra.

Radio-Loud AGN

38

Broadband Emission Models, II



SED of PKS B 1510-089 (Pucella et al., 2008)

Modeling the broadband SED:


- Consider primary components: synchrotron, disk, scattered BLR emission
- Inverse-Compton components from SSC and EC (of the dominating external photon fields)

Radio-Loud AGN

39

Prototypical Example: 3C 273 (continued)

Almost 40 years of multiwavelength observations of 3C 273 (Soldi et al., 2008)




Radio-Loud AGN

40



Prototypical Example: 3C 273 (continued)




(Türler et al., 1999)

(Soldi et al., 2008)

Radio-Loud AGN



Prototypical Example: 3C 273 (continued)




The fractional variability amplitude strongly depends on frequency, rising towards the high-energy end of the synchrotron and IC components. Less-variable IR emission (from dust?).

Radio-Loud AGN



Prototypical Example: 3C 273 (continued)




Almost 40 years of multiwavelength observations of 3C 273 (Soldi et al., 2008)

Radio-Loud AGN



Prototypical Example: 3C 273 (continued)


A historic jet-emission minimum (Türler et al., 2006)



Radio-Loud AGN

Prototypical Example: 3C 273 (continued)


A historic jet-emission minimum (Türler et al., 2006)



When the jet is weak, Seyfert-like features appear: Thermal dust, iron line; blue bump not directly coupled to jet emission

Radio-Loud AGN

The Blazar Sequence, I




Construction of average blazar SEDs binned according to radio luminosity (Fossati et al., 1998; Donato et al., 2001).
For all luminosity classes, there are two broad peaks

- LBL objects: High-luminosity sources peak at lower frequencies (IR and MeV range)
- HBL objects: Low-luminosity sources peak at higher frequencies (UV/X-rays and up to TeV energies)

(Donato et al., 2001, based on Fossati et al. 1998)

Radio-Loud AGN

The Blazar Sequence, II



Analytic parametrization:

- Peak frequencies are inversely proportional to luminosity
- Constant ratio of the two peak frequencies
- Strength of the second peak proportional to luminosity


Attention: EGRET detected preferentially blazars during outbursts ⇒ Bias in high-energy data.

There is an ongoing debate on the validity of the blazar sequence. A (small) number of sources does not fit in.

(Donato et al., 2001, based on Fossati et al. 1998)

Radio-Loud AGN

Blazars at γ -Ray Energies



Third EGRET Catalog
E > 100 MeV


- ▲ LMC
- Pulsars
- Solar Flare
- ◆ Active Galactic Nuclei
- Unidentified EGRET Sources

Hartman et al. (1999): 271 sources – 5 pulsars, 1 solar flare, 93 blazars (66 high confidence, 27 possible associations), 1 radio galaxy, 1 normal galaxy, and 170 (!) unidentified sources.

Radio-Loud AGN



Blazars at γ -Ray Energies




Courtesy: EGRET Team

Blazar SED dominated by γ -ray emission.

Example 3C 279:

- Surprise! (observation was looking for 3C 273)
- Became the prototypical γ -ray blazar through the whole EGRET mission



Radio-Loud AGN



Blazars at γ -Ray Energies

The elusive gamma-ray regime is now much better covered than ever before:

Agile launched in April 2007. Now in routine pointed observations mode.

<http://agile.rm.iasf.cnr.it/>

GLAST (Gamma Ray Large Area Space Telescope) launched in June 2008. Now renamed to *Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope* and in routine all-sky monitoring mode.


<http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/>



Radio-Loud AGN



Blazars at γ -Ray Energies



Primary observing mode of the LAT is survey mode:

- Full sky coverage every 2 orbits (3 hours)
- \sim Uniform exposure after a couple of orbits
- Sensitivity of previous γ -ray missions reached within \sim 1 week


Atwood et al. (2009)

Comparison with EGRET:

- Very large field of view (\sim 20 % of the sky)
- Broadband (20 MeV – >300 GeV)
- PSF <1 degree (energy dependent)
- Effective area >8000 cm²


Overall: Factor > 30 improvement in sensitivity!

Radio-Loud AGN




1st-light image of the *Fermi* Gamma-ray Space Telescope

(one week of data in August 2008)






3-month Image of the *Fermi* Gamma-ray Space Telescope

(August–October 2008)




High galactic latitude gamma-ray point sources are

flat-spectrum radio quasars and BL Lac objects




One-Year Image of the *Fermi* Gamma-ray Space Telescope


(2008/09)




All-sky astronomy is tricky from the ground!




One third of the sky is not observable for Northern-Hemisphere Telescopes!



Austral View of Fermi γ -ray sky



All-sky Fermi γ -ray image in celestial coordinates




TANAMI (Tracking Active Galactic Nuclei with Austral Milliarcsecond Interferometry)

<http://pulsar.sternwarte.uni-erlangen.de/tanami>

Blazars at Very High Energies, II


TeV blazars are weak radio sources, because the cm-range is so far left of their synchrotron peak and because they are low-luminosity objects. Similarly, they are bright X-ray sources and relatively weak in the MeV/GeV range.

Despite their variability and SEDs require very high Doppler factors, VLBI measures slow jets (barely superluminal Piner et al., 2008, and references therein) \Rightarrow 1) extremely small angles or 2) jet deceleration from the "blazar scale" to the "VLBI scale", or 3) another sign of jet-stratification (spine-sheath structure).




Radio-Loud AGN

Centaurus A



Blazars at Very High Energies, I



The blazar sequence predicts a dominance of HBL objects at very high energies. This is confirmed by recent blazar detections of TeV telescopes (H.E.S.S., MAGIC, VERITAS, CANGAROO):

- Currently 22 HBL objects detected and only two LBL objects (W Comae and BL Lac; check <http://tevcat.uchi.cgo.edu/> for updated lists)
- Only non-blazar TeV source: M 87

Radio-Loud AGN

Atwood W.B., Abdo A.A., Ackermann M., et al., 2009, *ApJ* 697, 1071
 Baede W., Minkowski R., 1954, *ApJ* 119, 206
 Bianchi S., Matt G., Balestra L., et al., 2004, *A&A* 422, 65
 Bolter T., Tanaka Y., Fabian A., et al., 2003, *MNRAS* 343, L89
 Cohen M.H., Cannon W., Purcell G.H., et al., 1971, *ApJ* 170, 207
 Cohen M.H., Lister M.L., Homan D.C., et al., 2007, *ApJ* 658, 232
 Condon J.J., Colton W.D., Greisen E.W., et al., 1998, *AJ* 115, 1693
 Donato D., Ghisellini G., Tagliatierra G., Fossati G., 2001, *A&A* 375, 739
 Fabian A.C., Miniutti G., Gallo L., et al., 2004, *MNRAS* 353, 1071
 Fabian A.C., Vaughan S., Nandra K., et al., 2002, *MNRAS* 335, L1
 Fenucci M., Ciprini S., Tosti G., 2004, *A&A* 419, 25
 Fossati G., Maraschi L., Celotti A., et al., 1998, *MNRAS* 299, 433
 Garcia-Lorenzo B., Mediarilla E., Arribas S., 1999, *ApJ* 518, 190
 Guainazzi M., Matt G., Molendi S., et al., 1999, *A&A* 341, L27
 Hartman R.C., Bertsch D.L., Bloom S.D., et al., 1998, *ApJS* 123, 79
 Iwasewa K., Fabian A.C., Reynolds C.S., et al., 1996, *MNRAS* 282, 1038
 Iwasewa K., Miniutti G., Fabian A.C., 2004, *MNRAS* 355, 1073
 Jiménez-Bailón E., Pioncellì E., Guainazzi M., et al., 2005, *A&A* 435, 449
 Kadler M., Ros E., Perucho M., et al., 2008, *ApJ* 680, 867
 Kellermann K.I., Lister M.L., Homan D.C., et al., 2004, *ApJ* 609, 539
 Kovalev Y.Y., Kellermann K.I., Lister M.L., et al., 2005, *Astron. J.* 130, 2473

- Kuehr H., Witzel A., Pauliny-Toth I.I.K., Nauber U., 1981, *A&AS* 45, 367
- Laing R.A., Bridle A.H., 1987, *MNRAS* 228, 557
- Lee J.C., Fabian A.C., Brandt W.N., et al., 1999, *MNRAS* 310, 973
- Lister M.L., Aller H.D., Aller M.F., et al., 2009, *Astron. J.* 137, 3718
- Longinotti A.L., Ceppi M., Nandra K., et al., 2003, *A&A* 410, 471
- Malizia A., Bassani L., Stephen J.B., et al., 2003, *ApJ* 589, L17
- Mannheim K., 1993, *A&A* 269, 67
- Matt G., Porquet D., Bianchi S., et al., 2005, *A&A* 435, 867
- McHardy J.M., Gunn K.F., Uttley P., Goad M.R., 2005, *MNRAS* 359, 1469
- McHardy J.M., Koending E., Knigge C., et al., 2006, *Nat* 444, 730
- McHardy J.M., Papadakis I.E., Uttley P., et al., 2004, *MNRAS* 348, 783
- Nilsson K., Pursimo T., Sillanpää A., et al., 2008, *A&A* 487, L29
- Piner B.G., Pantini, Edwards P.G., 2006, *ApJ* 678, 64
- Porquet D., Reeves J.N., 2003, *A&A* 408, 119
- Puccella G., Vitorini V., D'Ammando F., et al., 2008, *A&A* 491, L21
- Reeves J.N., Turner M.J.L., Founds K.A., et al., 2001, *A&A* 365, L134
- Seyfert C.K., 1943, *ApJ* 97, 28
- Shu F.H., 1991, *The Physics of Astrophysics, Vol. I. Radiation*, University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA
- Sikora M., Begelman M.C., Rees M.J., 1994, *ApJ* 421, 153
- Sold S., Türker M., Pallari S., et al., 2008, *A&A* 486, 411
- Tanaka Y., Nandra K., Fabian A.C., et al., 1995, *Nat* 375, 659
- 6-107
- Tavecchio F., Maraschi L., Ghisellini G., 1998, *ApJ* 509, 608
- Türker M., Chernyakova M., Courvoisier T.J.L., et al., 2006, *A&A* 451, L1
- Türker M., Pallari S., Courvoisier T.J.L., et al., 1999, *A&AS* 134, 89
- Ury C.M., Padovani P., 1995, *PASP* 107, 803
- Whitney A.R., Shapiro I.H., Rogers A.E.E., et al., 1971, *Science* 173, 225
- Wilms J., Reynolds C.S., Begelman M.C., et al., 2001, *MNRAS* 328, L27
- Wolter L., 1959, *ApJ* 130, 38
- Zdziarski A.A., Johnson W.N., Magdziarz P., 1996, *MNRAS* 263, 193
- Zensus J.A., 1997, *ARA&A* 35, 607