## X-ray Binaries



X-ray scan of the galactic plane during an Aerobee flight in June 1962 (Giacconi et al.): First discovery of an extrasolar X-ray source.
The moon was not detected in the X-rays (first detection by ROSAT in the 1990s).

X-ray binaries are named in arcane ways. Typical nomenclatures:

- Constellation $+X+$ number, earliest discoveries, e.g., Her X-1, Cyg X-3, but also LMC X-3.
- Name in the Ariel catalogue, e.g., A 0535+26
- Name in 4th Uhuru catalogue, e.g.,

4U 1957+115

- ROSAT all sky survey, e.g., RX J1940.1-1025 (and analogously for Beppo-SAX [SAX xxx], EXOSAT [EXO xxx], Ginga [GS xxx], Granat [GRS xxx], GRO [GRO xxx])
See SIMBAD for explanations
(http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/).


## SOURCE IN HERCULES (2U1705+34)

November 6, 1971


Tananbaum, 1973
First clue regarding the nature of the X-ray sources: detection of 1.24 s pulsations from Her $\mathrm{X}-1 \Longrightarrow$ Pulsating X-ray binaries as accreting, rotating neutron stars.


Charles \& Seward, 1995, p. 156
Optical appearance of XRB unspectacular (Sco X-1 has $m_{\mathrm{V}} \approx 17$ ), but very b pAATTlical spectrum, prominent emission lines (e.g., H\| 4686Å) निtroauctiolife for an accretion disk.

## Mass Determination, I

Mass determination from velocity curve.
Kepler's 3rd law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(a_{\mathrm{x}}+a_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{3}}{P_{\text {orb }}^{2}}=\frac{G\left(M_{\mathrm{x}}+M_{\mathrm{s}}\right)}{4 \pi^{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ : X-ray object, $S$ : normal star, and $a_{i}$ : distance from center of mass ( $\left.M_{\mathrm{X}} a_{\mathrm{X}}=M_{\mathrm{S}} a_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$.
Observed velocity (projected onto sky) gives $a_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j}=\frac{2 \pi a_{\mathrm{i}} \sin i}{P} \quad \text { since } \quad \mathrm{v}=2 \pi r / P \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for $j=\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{s}$ ), where $i$ is the inclination. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{\mathrm{X}}+a_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{3}=\left(a_{\mathrm{X}}+a_{\mathrm{X}} \frac{M_{\mathrm{X}}}{M_{\mathrm{S}}}\right)^{3}=\frac{a_{\mathrm{X}}^{3}\left(M_{\mathrm{X}}+M_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{3}}{M_{\mathrm{S}}^{3}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kepler's law gives after some algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{X}}\left(M_{\mathrm{X}}, M_{\mathrm{S}}\right)=\frac{M_{\mathrm{X}}^{3} \sin ^{3} i}{\left(M_{\mathrm{S}}+M_{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{P K_{\mathrm{S}}^{3}}{2 \pi G} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the mass function (minimum mass of compact object). More precise mass only possible when determination of $i$ possible (e.g., eclipses).

(Stelzer, 1997, Diplomarbeit AIT, Abb. 6.14)
Pulse arrival times for Her X-1 change
sinusoidally as a result of the orbital motion $\Longrightarrow$ Determination of $K$ and $a \sin i$.

(Pottschmidt et al., 2001)
Determination of the RV of the black hole in Cyg X-1 (Observatoire de Haute Provence, 1998 August [1.52m, Aurelie]) using the $\mathrm{H} \beta$ line (4861Å).


Kalemci, priv. comm.)
Almost all measured neutron star masses are consistent with the canonical value of $1.4 M_{\odot}$ !

## Mass/Orbits

Table 2.6 X-ray/Optical Orbital Parameters of X-ray Binaries

|  | Source | Type ${ }^{a}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {orb }}(\mathrm{d})$ | $\mathrm{a}_{x} \sin \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{lt}-\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $\mathrm{K}_{c}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{f}_{c}\left(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A. Neutron Star Primaries ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | LMC X-4 | H | 1.41 | $26.31 \pm 0.03^{\text {d }}$ | $9.86 \pm 0.04$ | $37.9 \pm 2.4$ | 0.008 | $1.38 \pm 0.25$ | [246,272] |
| 2 | Cen X-3 | H | 2.09 | $39.664 \pm 0.007$ | $15.386 \pm 0.001$ | $24 \pm 6$ | 0.003 | 1.06(+0.56, -0.53) |  |
| 3 | 4U1538-52 | H | 3.73 | $52.8 \pm 1.8$ | $11.4 \pm 1.2$ | $19.8 \pm 1.1$ | 0.003 | $1.3 \pm 0.2$ | [284,394] |
| 4 | SMC X-1 | H | 3.89 | $53.46 \pm 0.05$ | $10.84 \pm 0.03$ |  |  | $1.6 \pm 0.1$ | [387,395] |
| 5 | Vela X-1 | H | 8.96 | $113.0 \pm 0.4$ | $19.29 \pm 0.21$ | $21.8 \pm 1.2$ | 0.010 | $1.77 \pm 0.21$ |  |
| 6 | Her X-1 | L | 1.70 | $13.1831 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.8513 \pm 0.0001$ | $83 \pm 3$ | 0.10 | $0.98 \pm 0.12$ | [116] |
| 7 | 4U1907+09 | H | 8.38 | $83 \pm 3$ | $8.8 \pm 1.0$ |  |  |  | [84] |
| 8 | 4U0115+63 | H | 24.3 | $140.13 \pm 0.16$ | $5.007 \pm 0.019$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2S1553-54 | H | 30.6 | $164 \pm 22$ | $5.0 \pm 2.1$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\mathrm{V} 0332+53$ | H | 34.3 | $48 \pm 4$ | $0.101 \pm 0.025$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | GX301-2 | H | 41.5 | $371.2 \pm 3.3$ | $31.9 \pm 0.8$ |  |  |  | [404] |
| 12 | EXO2030+375 | H | $46^{e}$ | $240 \pm 15^{e}$ | $7.1 \pm 1.3^{e}$ |  |  |  | [364] |
| 13 | 4U1626-67 | L | 0.029 | <0.010 | $<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |  |  | [273] |
| 14 | 4U1700-37 | H | 3.41 |  |  | $18 \pm 3$ | 0.002 | $1.8 \pm 0.4$ | [199] |
| 15 | Cen X-4 | L | 0.63 |  |  | $146 \pm 12$ | 0.20 |  | [95,308] |
|  | B. Black Hole Candidates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | LMC X-3 | H | 1.70 |  |  | $235 \pm 11$ | $2.3 \pm 0.3$ | $>i^{f}$ | [91] |
| 17 | LMC X-1 | H | 4.23 |  |  | $68 \pm 8$ | $0.14 \pm 0.05$ |  | [224] |
| 18 | Cyg X-1 | H | 5.60 |  |  | $74.6 \pm 0.13$ | $0.241 \pm 0.013$ | $>7^{f}$ | [156] |
| 19 | A0620-00 | L | 0.32 |  |  | $442 \pm 4$ | $2.90 \pm 0.08$ | $>3.4^{g}$ | [306,307] |
| 20 | Nova Mus '91 | L | 0.43 |  |  | $409 \pm 18$ | $3.07 \pm 0.40$ | $>2.9^{g}$ | [393] |
| 21 | GS2023+338 | L | 6.47 |  |  | $210.6 \pm 4$ | $6.26 \pm 0.31$ | $>5.6^{g}$ | [54] |

Footnotes:
${ }^{a} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{HMXB}$ and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{LMXB}$.
${ }^{b}$ For entries $1-15$ the errors are large and asymmetric, and are not given. They can be computed easily using the expression for $f_{c}(M)$ given in the text and the values tabulated here for $\mathrm{P}_{\text {orb }}, \mathrm{K}_{c}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{K}_{c}$.
${ }^{c}$ Data in Part A are adopted from Tables 3 and 4 in [342], and from the supplementary references cited above.
${ }^{d}$ August 1989 Ginga observations; see [272] for a summary of the results of two other recent X-ray timing observations of LMC X-4.
Parameters for Model III [364].
${ }^{f}$ Model dependent (see text).
${ }^{g}$ Firm $2 \sigma$ limits set by the value of the mass function (see text).
(van Paradijs \& McClintock, 1995, Tab. 2.6)
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## Reminder: Accretion

Spherically symmetric accretion: maximum luminosity is Eddington luminosity,

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{\mathrm{Edd}}=\frac{4 \pi G M_{\mathrm{X}}\left(m_{\mathrm{p}}+m_{\mathrm{e}}\right) c}{\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}} \\
&=3.23 \times 10^{4}\left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{x}}}{M_{\odot}}\right) L_{\odot} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(L_{\odot}=3.9 \times 10^{33} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$.
Efficiency $\eta$ of accretion process is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\eta \dot{M} c^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{M}$ mass accretion rate. For accretion onto a neutron star,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{G M}{R c^{2}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For typical X-ray luminosities of $\sim 10^{39} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $\eta \sim 0.1$, one finds typical mass transfer rates of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{M}=10^{-9} \ldots 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \mathrm{a}^{-1} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$



Wilms 1998
Assume both stars are point masses on circular orbits $\Longrightarrow$ Effective potential in co-rotating coordinate system: sum of the gravitational potentials and centrifugal potential:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\mathbf{r})=-\frac{G M_{1}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right|}-\frac{G M_{2}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|}-\frac{1}{2}(\omega \times \mathbf{r})^{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Roche potential.
Stellar evolution: donor state eventually fills Roche lobe $\Longrightarrow$ Roche Lobe Overflow.

(Dennerl, Dissertation MPE)
Roche Lobe Overfbw: Matter streams over Lagrange point $L_{1}$ from donor onto compact object. Preservation of angular momentum: Formation of accretion disk.
Typical objects: Her X-1.

Early type stars (spectral type O, B, mass $M \gtrsim 10 M_{\odot}$ ) have strong winds, driven by radiation pressure in absorption lines. Typical Mass loss rates $\dot{M}=10^{-7 \ldots-5}$. Velocity profile parameterizable as

$$
\mathrm{v}(r) \approx \mathrm{v}_{\infty}\left(1-R_{\star} / r\right)^{\beta} \quad \text { with } \quad \beta \sim 0.5 \ldots 1.0
$$

(3.13)
and end-velocity $\mathrm{v}_{\infty} \approx 1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
A fraction of the wind $\left(10^{-4} \ldots 10^{-3}\right)$ can accrete onto compact object: Bondi-Hoyle accretion.

HD 77581


Principal components for wind-accretion:

- Ionized Strömgren region (wind ionized by X-rays from compact object).
- Accretion shock around compact object (orbital velocity typically $>$ velocity of sound!).
- Ionization wake where material is overdense.

Possibly formation of small disk around NS.
Typical Objects: Vela X-1

(Blondin (1994), Fig. 4)
Realistic hydrodynamical computations are difficult (asymmetry of accretion process, ionization of wind, large range of length-scales involved,...).

(Blondin, priv. comm.)

Velocity field from HMXB accretion (simulation for LMC X-4).

## Be Accretion

## Exzentrischer Orbit

(Kretschmar 1996, Dissertation AIT, Abb. 2.6)
Some early type stars (O9-B2) have very high rotation rates $\Longrightarrow$ Formation of disk-like stellar wind around equator region. Line emission from disk: Be phenomenon.
Collision of compact object with disk results in irregular X-ray outbursts.
Exact physics not understood at all.
Typical Objects: A0535+26.

## Low-Mass XRB


(after Charles \& Seward, 1995, Fig. 8.3)

> Low-Mass X-ray Binaries: Donor star has late spectral type (A and later), i.e. $M \lesssim 1.2 M_{\odot}$.

$\Longrightarrow$ No stellar wind, systems are dominated by Roche Lobe overflow. Observed phenomenology mainly due to neutron star and the accretion disk, depending on viewing angle.
$\Longrightarrow$ Optical appearance: accretion disk and X-ray heated surface of donor star.

## LMXB: Properties

Table 8.1. Properties of low-mass $X$-ray binaries

| Source | Period <br> (hrs) | X-ray type | Visual <br> magnitude | Optical <br> modulation | Companion <br> star |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4U1820-30 | 0.19 | Burster | - | - | White dwarf <br> 4U1626-67 |
| 0.7 | Burster | 19 | Yes | Degenerate <br> Degenerate |  |
| A1916-05 | 0.83 | Burster | 21 | Yes |  |
| X1323-619 | 2.9 | Burster, dipper | - | - | 17 |
| MXB1636-536 | 3.8 | Burster | Yes |  |  |
| EXO0748-676 | 3.8 | Burster, dipper, <br> transient |  |  |  |
| 4U1254-69 | 3.9 | Burster, dipper | 19 | Yes |  |
| 4U1728-16 | 4.2 | ADCa ? | 17 | Yes |  |
| X1755-338 | 4.4 | Dipper | 18.5 | Yes |  |
| MXB1735-444 | 4.6 | Burster | 17 | Yes |  |
| Cyg X-3 | 4.8 |  | (IR) | Yes(IR) |  |
| 4U2129+47 | 5.2 | ADC | 16 | Yes |  |
| 2A1822-371 | 5.6 | ADC | 16 | Yes |  |
| MXB1659-29 | 7.2 | Burster, dipper | 19 |  |  |
| A0620-00 | 7.3 | Transient | $12-19$ | Yes | K |
| LMC X-2 | $8.2 ?$ |  | 19 | Yes |  |
| 4U2127+11 | 8.5 | ADC | 16 | Yes |  |
| 4U1956+11 | 9.3 |  | 18 | Yes |  |
| CAL 87 | 10.2 | ADC | 19 | Yes |  |
| GX339-4 | 14.8 | Multi-state | $15-21$ | Yes |  |
| Sco X-1 | 19.2 | Prototype | $12-14$ | Yes |  |
|  |  | LMXB | - | - |  |
| 4U1624-49 | 21 | Dipper | - | - |  |
| CAL 83 | 25 | ADC | 17 | Yes | F |
| Her X-1 | 40.8 | Dipper | 15 | Yes | F |
| GS2023+338 | 155 | Transient | $12-19$ | Yes | K0 |
| 2S0921-630 | 216 | ADC | 16 | Yes |  |
| Cyy X-2 | 235 | Dipper | 15 | Yes | F giant |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{ADC}=$ accretion disc corona
Charles \& Seward, 1995, Tab. 8.1


Charles \& Seward, Fig. 8.8

## Eclipses


(Nowak et al., 1999)
Partial and broad eclipse from the LMXB 2A1822-371 as seen with ASCA.

(Stelzer et al. (1999))
Temporal evolution of the absorbing column $N_{\mathrm{H}}$ with time over a pre-eclipse dip of Her X-1:
Substructures as spray from impact of accretion stream onto disk.


Giacconi et al. (1973) Her X-1 shows "on" and "off" states with a periodicity of $\sim 35 \mathrm{~d}$.

Her X-1: 35 d cycle, II

(Kuster et al., 1999)
Turn on of Her X-1 as observed with RXTE.
Absorbing column $N_{\mathrm{H}}$ decreases with time $\Longrightarrow$ Turn on caused by motion of covering accretion disk out of line of sight $\Longrightarrow$ Precessing warped disk.


Schandl (1996)
What is the physical cause for the warping?
Torque perpendicular to plane of symmetry of disk.

Possible causes:

- Tidal torques (ruled out by precession frequency of disk).
- Wind due to X-ray heating of disk (Schandl, 1996),
- Radiation pressure (Maloney, Begelman \& Pringle, 1996)


## Evolution of pulse profi les,


(Kuster et al., 1999)
Pulse profile does not change during start of main on.

## Evolution of pulse profi les, I


(Scott, priv. comm.)

## Evolution of profile during main on.

Pulse profile does change

## Explanation


(Bai, 1981)
Evolution of pulse profile as evidence for covering: Begin of turn on: covering at outer radii, end of turn on: covering at inner radii (Note different scale heights!).


NASA GSFC
X-ray bursts from EXO 2030+375 as seen with EXOSAT.
Interpretation: Thermonuclear explosions on NS surface.

(Lewin et al., 1995, Fig. 4.10)
Peak fux and total fluence of bursts are correlated with distance to the next burst.
Explanation: Accretion of hydrogen onto surface $\Longrightarrow$ hydrogen burns quietly into helium (thickness of layer $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m}) \Longrightarrow$ thermonuclear flash when critical mass reached

## Rapid Burster

24-minute snapshots from 8 orbits on March 2/3,1976 | 100 s |



"




(Lewin et al., 1995, Fig. 4.19)
Bursting of the "Rapid Burster" 1730--335: Type I and Type II bursts.
Type II bursts: magnetospheric gate model: $B$-fi eld blocks accretion until gas pressure $>$ magnetic pressure $\Longrightarrow$ BOOM.

## Bursting Pulsar

GRO J1744-28 XTE PCA Burst No. 068 (5 PCUs)

plotted Jun 61996 by Jeff Kommers
(Kommers, 1996, priv. comm.)
Before 1995 December 2: X-ray bursts and pulsations cannot occur in the same object. Then: GRO J1744-28 the bursting pulsar (see
http://space.mit.edu/home/rutledge/TRANS/trans.html).
Pulsations with 2 Hz and type II bursts. Burst rate: ~ $20 /$ hour, then decreasing to $1 /$ hour. Source temporarily brightest X-ray source in the sky (several Crab), last outburst in June 1996. Orbit ~2d. Physics not understood yet.

## QPOs

Defi ne the discrete Fourier transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_{k} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i j k / N} \quad \text { where } j \in[-(N / 2) \ldots(N / 2)-1] \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the frequencies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j}=2 \pi \nu_{j}=2 \pi j /(N \Delta t) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\nu_{N / 2}=1 /(2 \Delta t)=$ Nyquist frequency).
The power spectral density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}=A\left|X_{j}\right|^{2} \quad \text { where } j \in[0 \ldots N / 2] \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$ : Normalization constant. Often used:

- $A_{\text {Leahy }}=2 \Delta t^{2} / N_{\mathrm{ph}}=2 \Delta t / X_{0}$ Leahy normalization
- $A_{\text {Miyamoto }}=2 \Delta t^{2} /\left(N_{\mathrm{ph}}\langle x\rangle\right)=A_{\text {Leahy }} /\langle x\rangle$ Miyamoto normalization
- $A_{\text {math }}=1 / N$ (standard mathematical normalization). where $N_{\mathrm{ph}}$ : number of photons observed.


## QPOs



EXOSAT LMXBs have peaks in the PSD at low frequency; "quasi periodic oscillations".
Explanation: Beat Frequency Model

## QPOs



The Microquasar and BHC GRS 1915+105:
Morgan, Remillard, Greiner, 1997, ApJ, 482, 993
RXTE/PCA, 2-20 keV, 0.067, 0.114, 0.65, and 1.8 Hz

## QPOs


"center frequency": e.g., resonant frequency of Lorentzian
"relative rms amplitude": $\sqrt{\int \mathrm{QPO} \mathrm{d} \nu}$,

$$
\text { above: } \mathrm{rms}_{67}=0.5-1.6 \%
$$

" $Q$-value": $\nu_{\text {center }} / \Delta \nu_{\text {FWHM }}$,
above: $Q_{67} \approx 20$

## IAAT

## QPOs

"The kHz QPO are the most important scientific result to date of RXTE".
(http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/ xte/Greatest_Hits/khz.qpo.html)

RXTE PCA: $\Delta E=2-25 \mathrm{keV}, A_{\text {eff }}=5000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, \Delta t=1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ Sco X-1

van der Klis et al., 1996, IAUC 6319 Wijnands \& van der Klis, 1999, ApJ, 514, 939

## QPOs

- >3 characteristic frequencies:
"LF QPOs" ( $\nu_{\text {LF }}$ ): $0.1-100 \mathrm{~Hz}$, many types
"kHz Twin Peaks" ( $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ ): $200-1400 \mathrm{~Hz}$
- "real" kHz QPOs only for neutron star binaries, mostly persistent LMXBs (but: Microquasars!),
$\sim 20 \mathrm{kHz}$ QPO sources are known, mostly showing double peaks
- Keplerian orbit frequency:

$$
\nu_{\text {orb }}=\left(\frac{G M}{4 \pi^{2} R_{\text {orb }}^{3}}\right)^{1 / 2} \approx 1200 \mathrm{~Hz}\left(\frac{R_{\text {orb }}}{15 \mathrm{~km}}\right)^{-3 / 2} m_{1.4}^{1 / 2}
$$

- innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO), Schwarzschild geometry:
$R_{\mathrm{ISCO}}=6 G M / \mathrm{c}^{2} \approx 12.5 m_{1.4} \mathrm{~km}$
$\Longrightarrow$ maximum stable frequency:
$\nu_{\text {ISCO }} \approx\left(1580 / m_{1.4}\right) \mathrm{Hz}$
- spin corrections can be several $10 \%$



## QPOs

beat: preferred Keplerian orbit \& spin frequency

Magnetospheric BFM:

- preferred radius = Alfvén radius
- orbiting clump ( $\nu_{\text {Alfvén }}$ )
modulated by B-field $\left(\nu_{\text {spin }}\right)$
$\Longrightarrow$ can explain LF QPOs, $5-50 \mathrm{~Hz}$


## Sonic Point BFM:

- preferred radius = where radial infbw velocity becomes supersonic, near ISCO
- orbiting clump ( $\nu_{\text {sonic }}>\nu_{\text {spin }}$ )
is causing bright footpoint near surface,
footpoint: upper kHz QPO, $\nu_{2}=\nu_{\text {sonic }}$
- clumps are irradiated with $\nu_{\text {spin }} \longrightarrow$ footpoint emission is modulated with beat between $\nu_{\text {sonic }}$ and $\nu_{\text {spin }}$, footpoint modulation: lower kHz QPO,
$\nu_{1}=\nu_{\text {beat }}$
$\Longrightarrow$ can explain twin kHz QPOs but ...


Miller et al., 1998, ApJ, 508, 791

Varying frequency separation between the kHz QPOs of different sources:

van der Klis, 2000, ARA\&A, 38, 717

## QPOs

Properties \& problems of the SPBFM:

- needs surface
$\Longrightarrow$ not valid for BHC sources
- Keplerian motion inside $r_{\text {Alfvén }}$
- $r_{\text {sonic }}$ is depending on $\dot{M}$
$\Longrightarrow$ varying $\nu_{2}$ can be explained
- $\Delta \nu=\nu_{2}-\nu_{1}$, constant, can be $<\nu_{\text {spin }}$
$\Longrightarrow$ varying $\Delta \nu$ cannot easily be explained
- predicts additional frequencies (differing from precession model)


## QPOs

Relativistic Precession Model
GR: free-particle orbits show characteristic frequencies

- disk is disrupted near ISCO, forming blobs
- blob orbits are inclined and eccentric
- orbit frequency: upper kHz QPO, $\nu_{2}$
- periastron precession: lower kHz QPO, $\nu_{1}$
- relativistic frame dragging $\rightarrow$
"wobble of the orbital plane":
nodal precession (Lense-Thirring)
$\nu_{\mathrm{LF}}=2 \times \nu_{\text {nod }}$
$\nu_{\text {nod }}=8 \pi^{2} I \nu_{2}^{2} \nu_{\text {spin }} / c^{2} M$,
( $I$ : moment of inertia)

Stella \& Vietri, 1998, ApJ, 492, L59
Vietri \& Stella, 1998, ApJ, 503, 350

## Accretion Disc



Marković \& Lamb, 2000, astro-ph/0009169

## QPOs

Varying frequency separation between the kHz QPOs of different sources:


Stella \& Vietri, 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett., 82, 17

## QPOs

Properties \& problems of the RPM:

- does not need surface
$\Longrightarrow$ also valid for BHC sources
- can explain $\Delta \nu$ (more or less)
- how to disrupt the disk?
how to create compact clumps?
how to maintain tilted orbits?
- how to create the flux modulations?
- other frequencies could be more important


## QPOs

## Promises:

- constrain $M$ and $R$ (via kHz QPOs)
$\Rightarrow$ constrain EOS for neutron stars
- constrain spin
("holy grail", LMXB/ms radio pulsar evolution?!)
- constrain B-fi eld (via LF QPOs)
- observe GR effects


## Diffi culties:

- observations (varying $\Delta \nu_{\mathrm{kHz}}, \nu$-correlations) triggered evolution of many different models ( $>12$ )
- no individual model does address all issues (i.e, generation of flux modulation, ...)
- models predict different $\nu_{\text {spin }}$ and $M$, e.g.,

BFM: $\nu_{\text {spin }}=250-350 \mathrm{~Hz}$
RPM: $\nu_{\text {spin }}=300-900 \mathrm{~Hz}$

- what about "surface models"? $\qquad$ big question:
do BHCs show the same behavior as neutron star XRBs?

100 light-
seconds
1


NEUTRON-STAR ORBIT AND COMPANION-STAR MASS FOR A NUMBER OF BINARY SYSTEMS


Charles and Seward, Fig. 7.7a

High-Mass X-ray Binaries: Donor star has early spectral type ( $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{B}$ ), and mass $M \gtrsim 10 M_{\odot}$.

Dominant accretion mechanisms: Wind Accretion or Accretion Disk. Optical emission dominated by O or B star.

Table 1.3. The orbital periods of $H M X B s$

| Source | Alternative <br> name | Orbital period <br> (d) | Properties $^{a}$ | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X2030+407 | Cyg X-3 | 0.2 | WR | $1,2,3$ |
| X0532-664 | LMC X-4 | 1.4 | SG, P | $4,5,6$ |
| X0538-641 | LMC X-3 | 1.7 | Be, BHC | 7 |
| X1119-603 | Cen X-3 | 2.1 | SG, P | 8 |
| X1700-377 | HD153919 | 3.41 | SG | 9 |
| X1538-522 | QV Nor | 3.73 | SG, P | 10,11 |
| X0115-737 | SMC X-1 | 3.89 | SG, P | 12 |
| X0540-697 | LMC X-1 | 4.22 | SG, BHC | 13 |
| X1956+350 | Cyg X-1 | 5.6 | SG, BHC | 14 |
| X1907+097 |  | 8.38 | B, P | 15 |
| X0900-403 | Vela X-1 | 8.96 | SG, P | 16 |
| X1657-415 |  | 10.4 | SG?, P | 17 |
| X0114+650 | V662 Cas | 11.6 | SG | 18 |
| X1909+048 | SS433 | 13.1 | SG, J | 19 |
| X0535-668 | A0538-66 | 16.7 | Be, T, P | 20 |
| X0115+634 | V635 Cas | 24.3 | Be, T, P | 21 |
| X0236+610 | LS I +61 303 | 26.45 | Be | 22 |
| X1553-542 |  | 30.6 | Be?, T, P | 23 |
| X0331+530 | BQ Cam | 34.25 | Be, T, P | 24 |
| X1223-624 | GX301-2 | 41.5 | SG, P | $25,26,27$ |
| X2030+375 |  | $45-47$ | Be, T, P | 28 |
| X0535+262 | HD245770 | 111 | Be, T, P | 29 |
| X1258-613 | GX304-1 | $133 ?$ | Be, P | 30 |
| X1145-619 | Hen 715 | 187.5 | Be, P | 31 |

${ }^{a}$ The source properties are indicated by 'SG' - supergiant, 'Be' - Be star, 'P' - pulsar, 'BHC' -black-hole candidate, 'T' - transient, 'WR' - Wolf-Rayet, ' J ' - Jets.
References: ${ }^{1}$ Parsignault et al. 1972; ${ }^{2}$ Sanford \& Hawkins 1972; ${ }^{3}$ van Kerkwijk et al. 1992; ${ }^{4}$ Li et al. 1978; ${ }^{5}$ White 1978; ${ }^{6}$ Chevalier \& Ilovaisky 1977; ${ }^{7}$ Cowley et al. 1983; ${ }^{8}$ Schreier et al. 1972b; ${ }^{9}$ Jones, Forman and Liller 1973; ${ }^{10}$ Becker et al. 1977; ${ }^{11}$ Davison, Watson and Pye 1977; ${ }^{12}$ Schreier et al. 1972b; ${ }^{13}$ Hutchings et al. 1983; ${ }^{14}$ Webster \& Murdin 1972; ${ }^{15}$ Marshall \& Ricketts 1980; ${ }^{16}$ Ulmer et al. 1972; ${ }^{17}$ Chakrabarty et al. 1993; ${ }^{18}$ Crampton et al. 1985; ${ }^{19}$ Crampton et al. 1980; ${ }^{20}$ Johnston, et al. 1980; ${ }^{21}$ Rappaport et al. 1978; ${ }^{22}$ Taylor \& Gregory 1982; ${ }^{23}$ Kelley et al. 1983b; ${ }^{24}$ Stella et al. 1985 ; ${ }^{25}$ Watson et al. 1982; ${ }^{26}$ Kelley et al. 1980; ${ }^{27}$ White et al. 1978; ${ }^{28}$ Parmar et al. 1989c,d; ${ }^{29}$ Priedhorsky \& Terrell 1983a; ${ }^{30}$ Priedhorsky \& Terrell 1983b; ${ }^{31}$ Watson et al. 1981.

## White et al., 1995, Tab. 1.3

HMXB: System Parameters, II

Table 1.4. Pulse periods from $X$-ray binaries

| Source | Alternative <br> name | Pulse period <br> (s) | Orbital period <br> (d) | Type | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X0535-668 | A0538-66 | 0.069 | 16.7 | HMXB | 1 |
| X0115-737 | SMC X-1 | 0.71 | 3.89 | HMXB | 2 |
| X1656+354 | Her X-1 | 1.24 | 1.7 | LMXB | 3 |
| X0115+634 | V635 Cas | 3.6 | 24.3 | HMXB | 4 |
| X0332+530 | BQ Cam | 4.4 | 34.25 | HMXB | 5 |
| X1119-603 | Cen X-3 | 4.8 | 2.1 | HMXB | 6 |
| X1048-594 |  | 6.4 |  | $?$ | 7 |
| X2259+587 |  | 7.0 |  | LMXB | 8 |
| X1627-673 |  | 7.7 | 0.029 | LMXB | 9 |
| X1553-542 |  | 9.3 | 30.6 | HMXB | 10 |
| X0834-430 | GR0834-430 | 12.2 | - | $?$ | 11 |
| X0532-664 | LMC X-4 | 13.5 | 1.4 | HMXB | 12 |
| X1417-624 |  | 17.6 |  | HMXB | 13 |
| X1843+009 |  | 29.5 |  | $?$ | 14 |
| X1657-415 |  | 38 | 10.4 | HMXB | 15 |
| X2030+375 |  | 42 | 45.6 | HMXB | 16 |
| X2138+568 | Cep X-4 | 66 |  | $?$ | 17 |
| X1836-045 |  | 81 |  | $?$ | 14 |
| X1843-024 |  | 95 |  | $?$ | 14,34 |
| X0535+262 |  | 104 | 111 | HMXB | 18 |
| X1833-076 | Sct X-1 | 111 |  | $?$ | 19 |
| X1728-247 | GX1+4 | 114 | $304 ?$ | LMXB | $20,21,22$ |
| X0900-403 | Vela X-1 | 283 | 8.96 | HMXB | 23 |
| X1258-613 | GX 304-1 | 272 | $133 ?$ | HMXB | 24,25 |
| X1145-614 |  | 298 |  | HMXB | 26,27 |
| X1145-619 |  | 292 | 187.5 | HMXB | 26,27 |
| X1118-615 | A1118-61 | 405 |  | HMXB | 28 |
| X1722-363 |  | 413 |  | $?$ | 29 |
| X1907+097 |  | 438 | 8.38 | HMXB | 30 |
| X1538-522 | QV Nor | 529 | 3.73 | HMXB | 31 |
| X1223-624 | GX301-2 | 696 | 41.5 | HMXB | 32 |
| X0352-309 | X Per | 835 |  | HMXB | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

References: ${ }^{1}$ Skinner et al. 1982; ${ }^{2}$ Lucke et al. 1976; ${ }^{3}$ Tananbaum et al. 1972; ${ }^{4}$ Cominsky et al. 1978; ${ }^{5}$ Stella et al. 1985; ${ }^{6}$ Giacconi et al. 1971; ${ }^{7}$ Corbet \& Day 1990; ${ }^{8}$ Gregory \& Fahlman 1980; ${ }^{9}$ Rappaport et al. 1977; ${ }^{10}$ Kelley et al. 1983b; ${ }^{11}$ Grebenev \& Sunyaev 1991; ${ }^{12}$ Kelley et al. 1983a; ${ }^{15}$ Kelley et al. 1981; ${ }^{14}$ Koyama et al. 1990a; ${ }^{15}$ White \& Pravdo 1979; ${ }^{16}$ Parmar et al. 1989d; ${ }^{17}$ Koyama et al. 1991a; ${ }^{18}$ Rosenberg et al. 1975; ${ }^{19}$ Koyama et al. 1991b; ${ }^{20}$ Lewin et al. 1971; ${ }^{21}$ White et al. 1976a; ${ }^{22}$ Strickman et al. 1980; ${ }^{23}$ McClintock et al. 1976; ${ }^{24} \mathrm{Huckle}$ et al. 1977; ${ }^{25}$ McClintock et al. $1977 ;{ }^{26}$ White et al. $1978 \mathrm{~b} ;{ }^{27}$ Lamb et al. 1980; ${ }^{28}$ Ives et al. 1975; ${ }^{29}$ Tawara et al. 1989; ${ }^{30}$ Makishima et al. 1984; ${ }^{31}$ Davison et al. 1977; ${ }^{32}$ White et al. 1976a; ${ }^{33}$ White et al. 1976b; ${ }^{34}$ Koyama et al. 1990b.

## White et al., 1995, Tab. 1.4



RXTE All Sky Monitor lightcurve of Vela X-1:
Eclipses at dotted lines. Note rapid variability of long-term lightcurve $\Longrightarrow$ Can be traced to
variations of absorbing column along line of sight.


Violent X-ray absorption on all timescales in the wind accreting system 4U 1700-377.

Charles \& Seward, Fig. 7.12

So far: ignored fact that central neutron star has (strong) magnetic field ( $\sim 10^{12} \mathrm{G}$ ). Far-field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(r)=\left(\frac{R_{\star}}{r}\right)^{3} B_{\mathrm{p}} \text { hence } P_{\mathrm{mag}}=\frac{B^{2}}{8 \pi}=\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{6} B_{\mathrm{p}}^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the accreting material has a ram-pressure

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{ram}}=\rho \mathrm{v}^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad P_{\mathrm{ram}}=\frac{\dot{M}}{4 \pi r^{2}}\left(\frac{2 G M}{r}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming free fall ( $\mathrm{v}=(2 G M / r)^{1 / 2}$ ) and spherical symmetry ( $\dot{M}=4 \pi r^{2} \rho \mathrm{v}$ ).
For $P_{\text {mag }}>P_{\text {ram }}$, magnetic field dominates $\Longrightarrow$ plasma couples to magnetic field lines at the Alfvén radius

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{mag}}=\left(\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{G}\right)^{1 / 7}\left(\frac{R_{\star}^{12} B_{\mathrm{p}}^{4}}{M \dot{M}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 7} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $R_{\star}=10 \mathrm{~km}, B=10^{12} \mathrm{G}, M=1.4 M_{\odot}$, and $\dot{M}=10^{-8} M_{\odot} / \mathrm{a}$, $r_{\text {mag }} \sim 3500 \mathrm{~km}$.

For typical NS parameters, the accretion close to the NS is completely dominated by the magnetic field.

courtesy I. Negueruela


Coupling between magnetic field and accretion disk: accretion disk excerts torque onto NS:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \dot{\omega}=\dot{M} r_{\mathrm{mag}}^{2} \Omega_{\mathrm{K}}\left(r_{\mathrm{mag}}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I=2 / 5 \cdot M R_{\star}^{2}$ moment of inertia of NS, and $\Omega_{\mathrm{K}}\left(r_{\text {mag }}=\left(G M / r_{\text {mag }}^{3}\right)^{1 / 2}\right.$ the Kepler frequency at $r_{\text {mag }}$.
The luminosity of the source is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{G \dot{M} M}{r_{\mathrm{mag}}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some tedious algebra (Gosh \& Lamb, 1979), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\dot{P}}{P} \propto-\left(L^{6 / 7} P\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$


(Rappaport \& Joss, 1977)
Observations and prediction of Gosh \& Lamb magnetospheric accretion model agree.

## Pulse Histories


(Bildsten et al., 1998)
Real place of matter coupling to $B$-fi eld is not $r_{\text {mag }}$. Result are changes of the neutron star spin: Predominantly, a spin up (spin period shortens with time) is observed, but sometimes the period change is erratic or dominated by a spin down (spin period increases with time).
Whether a spin up or spin down occurs depends on whether matter couples to the magnetic fi eld inside or outside of $r_{\text {mag }}$.

## Fan Beam vs. Pencil Beam




(Kreykenbohm et al., 1999)

## Pulse Profi les


(Kreykenbohm et al. (1999))
Vela X-1: Energy dependent pulse profile.

## Landau Levels

Important physical process due to strong fi eld at NS poles: Quantization of electron energies perpendicular to the magnetic fi eld lines (Landau levels):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=m_{\mathrm{e}} c^{2} \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{p_{\|}}{m_{\mathrm{e}} c}\right)^{2}+2 n \frac{B}{B_{\text {crit }}}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\|}$: momentum of electron parallel to $B$-fi eld, $n$ the major quantum number, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\text {crit }}=\frac{m_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} c^{3}}{\mathrm{e} \hbar} \approx 4.4 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{G} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(critical magnetic field, $E_{\text {cyc }}=m_{\mathrm{e}} c^{2}$ ).
For $B \ll B_{\text {crit }}$ distance between Landau levels:

$$
E_{\mathrm{cyc}}=E_{n+1}-E_{n}=\frac{\hbar \mathrm{e}}{m_{\mathrm{e}} c} B=11.6 \mathrm{keV}\left(\frac{B}{10^{12} \mathrm{G}}\right)
$$

(3.27)
(12 - $B_{12}$-rule).

Cyclotron Resonance Features


Tiumper (LAATibr8)
Accretion Column

## CRFs: Summary

| Object | $P_{\text {puls }}$ | $P_{\text {orb }}$ | $E_{\text {cyc }}$ | Companion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{sec})$ | (days) | $(\mathrm{keV})$ |  |
| Her X-1 | 1.24 | 1.7 | 38 | A9-B |
| 4U0115+63 | 3.6 | 24.31 | $12,23,36 ?$ | Be |
| X0331+53 | 4.37 | 34.25 | $28.5,56 ?$ | Be (BQ Cam) |
| Cen X-3 | 4.8 | 2.09 | 27.1 | O6.5II (V779 Cen) |
| X2259+586 | 6.98 | $?$ | $5 ?, 10 ?$ | single? |
| 4U1626-67 | 7.66 | 1.7 | 38 | KZ TrA |
| LMC X-4 | 13.5 | 1.408 | $19-23 ?$ | O7IV |
| GS1843+00 | 29.5 | $?$ | $18-22$ | $?$ |
| GS2137+57 | 66.2 | $?$ | 29 | Be? |
| A0535+26 | 105 | 110.58 | $55 ?, 110$ | Be |
| Vela X-1 | 283 | 8.96 | $25 ?, 58$ | B0.5Ib |
| 4U1907+09 | 438 | 8.38 | 19 | B2 III-IV |
| 4U1538-52 | 530 | 3.73 | 20,40 | B0I |
| GX 301-2 | 690 | 41.5 | 40 | B1.2la (Wray 977) |

(Heindl, 1999, priv. comm.)

## Black Holes

Stars end their life as one of three different kinds of compact objects:
white dwarf: $\rho \sim 10^{5 \ldots .6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, R \sim R_{\text {earth }}$,
Equilibrium between gravitation and pressure of ([relativistically] degenerate) electrons $M<1.44 M_{\odot}$ (Chandrasekhar-Limit).
neutron star: $\rho \sim 10^{13} \ldots 10^{16} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$,
$R \sim 10 \mathrm{~km}$, at this density $\beta$-decay
$\left(p+e^{-} \rightarrow n\right.$ ), i.e., star consists mainly of neutrons $1.44 M_{\odot}<M \lesssim 3 \ldots 4 M_{\odot}$
(Oppenheimer-Volkoff Limit).
black hole: above OV-Limit: no stable configuration known $\Longrightarrow$ star collapses at infinitum $\Longrightarrow$ black hole. horizon at $R_{S}=2 G M / c^{2}=3\left(M / M_{\odot}\right) \mathrm{km}$
(Schwarzschild radius), $M \gtrsim 4 M_{\odot}$.

## Black Holes

Observation: determine mass.
Since inclination $i$ usually not known, can only determine mass function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MF}=\frac{M_{2}^{3} \sin ^{3} i}{\left(M_{1}+M_{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{a_{1}^{3} \sin ^{3} i}{U^{2}} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(lower limit for $M_{2}$ )

Most black holes have MF $\gtrsim 2 M_{\odot}$, there are a few with MF $>5 M_{\odot}$.
since also MF sometimes not determined: classification as black hole candidate (BHC).

First BHC discovered in 1965, identified in 1972 (Cygnus X-1). End of 1970s: LMC X-3 and LMC X-1.

Today >30 BHC known, 3 are "safe" BH.

## Black Holes

BHC can be both, HMXB and LMXB:
Soft X-ray Transients: Low mass X-ray Binaries with a BH. Transient behavior, outbursts due to triggered mass transfer/accretion. Most BHC.
Persistent Black Hole Candidates: High Mass X-ray Binaries, always seen, about five sources known (Cyg X-1, LMC X-1, LMC X-3,...)
Microquasars: Most probably LMXBs, strong radio emitters with jets


## Black Holes

astrophysics of BHC: study of accretion processes

Simpler than NS/WD: no surface, no magnetic fields.
more difficult than NS/WD: large accretion rates, modification of X-ray spectrum by hot gas,...
$\Longrightarrow$ we have good observational material, a rough physical understanding, many (basic) questions are open.

Relativistic effects not too important, they are more crucial in active galactic nuclei

Transient Black Hole Candidates


Tanaka, 1995

## 3-72

Transient Black Hole Candidates
light curve during outburst:

## - fast raise, exponential decay (FRED)

- secondary reflare, about 50-80 d after primary maximum
- third hump after a few hundred days


## Observations



1970-1995: Single pointed observations:

- Some sources exhibit thermal X-ray spectrum $\Longrightarrow$ accretion disk!
- Many sources exhibit power law spectrum
- presence of $\mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ line emission at $6.4 \mathrm{keV} \Longrightarrow$ "cold material" (disk?)

Because of this: "states of black holes":
high/soft state: accretion disk dominates.
low/hard state: power law dominates.


Application of Comptonization Models on GX 339-4 (Wilms et al., 2000)
$\Longrightarrow$ good agreement between theory and observations

cross section through "sandwich corona".
left: particle density,
right: B-Field (Stone, priv. comm.)

Comptonizing medium is probably of magnetohydrodynamical origin

Possible mechanism: Magnetorotational Instability (MRI, aka Balbus-Hawley Instability; possibly MRI is also source of disk viscosity [ $\alpha$ parameter...]).

The location of the Comptonizing medium (accretion disk corona) is unclear:


Sandwich geometry (Haardt \& Maraschi, 1993)


Advection dominated accretion flow (ADAF) Narayan (1996),
Esin et al. $(1997,2000)$

"Sphere+Disk geometry"
Dove et al. (1997),
Zdziarski et al. (1998)


ADAF fit for GX 339-4, observed radio flux is 7 mJy at 843 MHz (Wilms et al., 2000)

- Sandwich-Geometry not self consistent, as corona is cooled too effi ciently (Dove et al., 1997).
- ADAF in many sources not possible since synchrotron peak too strong (e.g., Wilms et al., 2000).
- Sphere+Disk explains spectrum but not short term variability (z.B. Nowak et al., 1999)...
$\Longrightarrow$ accretion geometry is not understood!


## Long Term Variability


more insight through systematical, year long observing campaigns instead of "Snapshots", possibly with the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE, NASA, since 1996).

Tübingen campaigns
Cyg X-1: 1997-today (RXTE, radio, optical; Pottschmidt et al., 2000, 2001)
LIMC X-1: 1997/1998 (RXTE; Wilms et al., 2001)
LIMC X-3: 1997-1999, 2001-heute (RXTE; Wilms et al. 2001), 1990-1995 (optical [Amsterdam]; Brocksopp et al., 2001).
GX 339-4: 1998 (RXTE; Wilms et al. 2001), 2000 (XMM-Newton, BeppoSAX)


LMC X-3: variation of X-ray spectral parameters (Wilms et al., 2001)


Wilms et al., 2000, 2001
LMC X-3 exhibits quasi-periodical transitions between the soft state and the hard state for $L \lesssim 5 \% L_{\text {Edd }}$
$\Longrightarrow$ accretion disk corona is not always there!
similar things known from most BHC (z.B. Cyg X-1, XTE J1550, ...) $\Longrightarrow$ MRI does not work for large $L,(\propto \dot{M})$.


X-ray properties
Radio properties
Fender 2000

Cyg X-1


More clues from time behavior.

variability described as "red noise" with characteristic frequencies that are perhaps related to accretion disk oscillations (Diskoseismology).

## Cyg X-1



Pottschmidt et al. 2001
Evolution of PSD parameters with time $\Longrightarrow$ "failed state transitions"


Pottschmidt et al., 2000

The fourier frequency dependent "Lag" changes only during state changes. during hard and soft state, lags are identical $\Longrightarrow$ origin of lag independent of accretion disk corona.

## Cyg X-1




Pottschmidt, Wilms, et al., 2000
Evolution of lag between two energy bands. Lag is signifi cantly larger during state changes $\Longrightarrow$ change of source geometry?


Microquasar: BHC with strong radio jets;
"superluminal motion"
Three sources known:

- GRS 1915+105 (discovered 27 July 1994)
- GRO J1655-40
- XTE J1550-564


## Microquasars, II



March/April 1994
(VLA, Mirabel et al., 1994)
ballistical motion of clumps: apparent velocity:

- $(0.65 \pm 0.08) c$ und $(1.25 \pm 0.15) c$, i.e. Superluminal Motion!
- before 1994: only known from AGN (z.B. 3C273)
- Theory: Projection effect
Measured fuxes consistent with relativistic
Doppler boosting

light emitted at position $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ with time difference $\delta t$ :
Observer $A$ measures time difference:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t=\delta t(1-\beta \cos \theta) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observer $A$ measures transversal velocity:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{v \sin \theta}{c(1-\beta \cos \theta)}=\frac{\beta \sin \theta}{1-\beta \cos \theta} \\
\gamma=\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}, \beta=v / c \text { with } \beta_{\mathrm{T}}^{-}=0.65 \text { and } \\
\beta_{\mathrm{T}}^{+}=1.25: \\
\beta=(0.92 \pm 0.08) c \\
\theta=(70 \pm 2)^{\circ}
\end{gathered}
$$

maximum transversal velocity: $\beta_{\mathrm{T}}^{\max }=\beta \gamma \approx \gamma$


## Superluminal Motion in GRS 1915

## GRS 1915+105



RXTE ASM lightcurve
Although transient, outburst behavior very different from soft X-ray transients.

## GRS 1915+105



Short term variability is also weird: Brightness Sputters, Large-Amplitude Oscillations (Greiner et al., 1996)

## GRS 1915+105



Belloni et al., 1997
Possible explanation via fast emptying out of accretion disk (accretion disk instability on viscous timescale?), slow refill via $\dot{M}$.

## GRS 1915+105



- X-Rays (PCA): 50 s QPO, Dip with short flare
- IR (UKIRT): Flare starts after short X-ray flare
- Radio (VLA): Flare starts 16 min after IR Flare (adiabatic expansion; effect of $\lambda$ dependency of optical thickness for synchrotron radiation)
$\Longrightarrow$ Hypothesis (Mirabel et al., 1998): Inner disk empties out, material released as adiabatically expanding plasma cloud that emits synchrotron radiation ("Minijet")
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