



Introduction

We now turn to older neutron stars in low mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs).

These systems have lower B-fields

 \implies no pulsations observed

What we will talk about:

- Spectral Shape/ Classification Atoll-sources, Z-sources, Dippers
- X-ray Bursts
- Rapid Time Variability
- Accretion Disk Corona Sources

Table 1	Bright	low-mass	X-ray	binaries ^a
---------	--------	----------	-------	-----------------------

			I_x^{b}				
Source name(s)	<i>l</i> ^π , <i>b</i> ^π (°)	Mean (µJy)	Min. (µJy)	Max. (μJy)	P _{orb} ^c (hr)	Type ^d	Phenomenology ^e
Sco X-1 (1617–155)	359+24	12,400	9300	16,300	19.2	Z	QPO
GX 5-1 (1758-250)	5 - 1	1200	1070	1410		Z	QPO
GX $349 + 2(1702 - 363)^{f}$	349 + 3	780	620	980		Z	QPO
GX 17+2 (1813-140)	16 + 1	680	600	780	19.8? ^g	Ζ	QPO, (bu)
GX 9+1 (1758-205)	9 + 1	650	550	720		Α	
GX 340+0 (1642-455)	340 - 0	490	400	620		Ζ	QPO
GX 3+1 (1744-265)	2 + 1	430	230	550		Α	QPO, (Bu)
Cyg X-2 (2142+380)	87-11	430	290	730	235	Z	QPO, (bu), Mo
GX 13+1 (1811-171)	14 + 0	340	240	430		Α	
GX 9+9 (1728-169)	8+9	290	230	340	4.2	Α	Mo
4U 1820-30 (NGC 6624)	3-8	260	94	360	0.2	Α	QPO, (Bu), Mo
4U 1705-44	343 - 2	260	39	440		Α	Bu
4U 1636-53	333 - 5	220	100	320	3.8	Α	Bu
Ser X-1 (1837+049)	36 + 5	200	150	290			Bu
GCX-1 (1742-294)	0 - 0	170	130	270			Bu?
4U 1728-33	354 - 0	170	140	190		Α	Bu
GX 339-4 (1659-487)	339 - 4	160	36	250	14.8?	_	QPO, BH? ^h
4U 1735-44	346-7	160	110	210	4.6	Α	Bu

^aAll variable objects in 3A Catalogue (69, 153) with an average flux $\geq 100 \mu$ Jy not identified with an early-type star (excluding Cyg X-3).

^b Converted from Ariel V ASM counts into μ Jy (2–11 keV) according to 1 ASM c/s = 2.6 μ Jy (9).

^c See (84).

^dZ or A(toll) source; see text. After (36).

^eQPO: all reported quasi-periodic oscillations are indicated here (see Section 3 for an evaluation of QPO reports in atoll sources); Bu: regular X-ray bursts; (Bu): has shown an episode of regular X-ray bursts; (bu): occasional X-ray bursts reported; BH?: black hole candidate, Mo: shows periodic X-ray modulation (9, 55, 64).

^f "Sco X-2."

^g Reference: (37).

^h References: (77, 157).



(Grimm, Gilfanov & Sunyaev, 2003)

Distribution of HMXB (filled circles) and LMXB (open circles) in the Galaxy





Hasinger & van der Klis (1989): "Two patterns of correlated X-ray timing and spectral behaviour in low-mass X-ray binaries"

Source classification through their behavior in the color-color-diagram or in the Hardness-Intensity-Diagram:

Here, we define an X-ray color (or "hardness ratio"):

$$extsf{color} = rac{\mathsf{CR}_{\mathsf{upper energy band}}}{\mathsf{CR}_{\mathsf{lower energy band}}}$$

where CR_i is the measured count rate in a given energy band.

Typical bands used depend on the satellite, typical width is a few keV!

(6.1)



Classification



Z-sources, I



(GX 340+0; Jonker et al., 2000)

Z-sources: higher luminosity LMXBs (L_X close to L_{Edd}).

Color-intensity-diagram:

- horizontal branch: characterized by 20–50 Hz "Horizontal Branch Oscillations" (HBOs) and strong variability (including quasi-periodic oscillations, QPOs)
- normal branch: much weaker variability pre 1988 people thought this behavior to be the normal one for neutron star LMXB.
- flaring branch: spectrum mostly thermal Named after flares in Sco X-1

Intensity described with S_Z -parameter along the Z.

Classification



Depending on the source and choice of color bands, the Z is can be rather severely distorted.

(Hasinger & van der Klis, 1989, Fig. 1a)





Atoll sources



Atoll sources: generally lower luminosity than Z-sources; color-color-diagram looks like a pacifi c island

Intensity increases with parameter S_a :

- banana state: higher luminosity state, variability dominated by low frequency noise
- island state: lower luminosity state, variability dominated by high frequency noise

 ${\cal S}_a$ is defined "by eye", see diagram

Typical luminosities 0.01–0.2 L_{Edd} , although four sources might be brighter than that (van der Klis, 2000)

Classification



The source location in the color-color diagram varies on timescales of days to weeks

generally slower in island, faster in banana

(van Straaten, van der Klis & Méndez, 2003, Fig. 2)



Not all sources are present in the banana and island states, depends on individual source luminosity variations.

(Hasinger & van der Klis, 1989, Fig. 3a)

HARD COLOUR



Spectral shape

(White, Stella & Parmar, 1988): The spectral shape is well described by a power law with exponential cutoff,

$$N_{\rm ph}(E) \propto E^{-\Gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{E_{\rm fold}}\right)$$
 (6.2)

where

- $N_{\rm ph}$: photon flux (ph cm⁻² s⁻¹ keV⁻¹),
- $\Gamma \sim$ 0–2: photon index,
- $E_{\rm fold} \sim$ 1–20 keV: folding energy (also often called cutoff energy)

Such a spectral shape probably due to Comptonization

High luminosity sources (=Z-sources) show additional black body component with $kT_{BB} \sim 1-2$ keV, contributing 10–70% of the total flux (higher L_X implies more BB-flux).

Often, an additional Fe K α line at 6.4 keV is required.

Spectral shape

6 - 11



Example spectra of LMXB (White, Stella & Parmar, 1988, lower line is Comptonization only)



(after Church, 2004)

The interpretation of spectral shape is heavily debated.

Western model (White, Stella & Parmar, 1988) and Birmingham model (Church & Balucinska-Church, 1995):

- black body is from neutron star,
- Comptonization happens in inner edge of the accretion disk (e.g., in hot accretion disk wind).



(after Church, 2004)

The interpretation of the spectral shape is heavily debated.

Eastern model (Mitsuda et al., 1989):

- Soft spectrum: thermal radiation from accretion disk (assuming $T(r) \propto r^{-3/4}$)
- Hard spectrum is Comptonization in neutron star atmosphere (which provides seed photons as thermal radiation).

Spectral shape







NASA GSFC

X-ray bursts from EXO 2030+375 as seen with EXOSAT.





(Lewin, van Paradijs & Taam, 1993, Fig. 3.14b)

Bursts sometimes appear to be regular . . . (burst separations down to 10 min are possible).





(Lewin, van Paradijs & Taam, 1993, Fig. 3.14b)

... and sometimes not.





(Lewin, van Paradijs & Taam, 1993, Fig. 3.1)

Bursts come in different shapes, but approximately look like a "FRED"

FRED=Fast Raise and Exponential Decay





(1728-337; Lewin, van Paradijs & Taam, 1993, Fig. 3.5b)

Peak flux and total fluence of bursts are approximately linearly correlated \implies more energetic bursts are brighter







(1636-536; Lewin, van Paradijs & Taam, 1993, Fig. 3.15)

Waiting time and total fluence of bursts are approxmately correlated \implies more energetic bursts come after longer waiting times





($\gamma = L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm Edd}$; Lewin, van Paradijs & Taam, 1993, Fig. 3.17)

Waiting times are longer for low luminosity systems, i.e., lower M.







Swank et al. (1977): Spectral shape during the bursts can be well described by a black body spectrum with $kT \sim$ few keV.

⇒ Optically thick plasma in thermodynamic equilibrium









(Galloway et al., 2006, Fig. 1) Luminosity of a black body: $L_{bb} = R_{bb}^2 \sigma T_{bb}^4$ \implies can measure radius of emitter!

$$R = d\sqrt{\frac{4\pi F}{\sigma T^4}}$$

where d estimated distance and ${\cal F}$ measured flux.



When looked at in more detail, measuring the temperature during the burst is more complicated:

1. Neutron star is compact, so radiation from surface suffers a gravitational redshift:

$$T_{\text{surface}} = T_{\text{obs}}(1+z) \text{ where } 1+z = \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{Rc^2}\right)^{-1/2}$$
 (6.3)

2. Neutron star atmosphere hardens the surface spectrum through Compton scattering:

$$I_{\text{obs}}(E_{\text{em}}) = B(E_{\text{em}}; T_{\text{eff}})/f^4 \iff T_{\text{surface}} = fT_{\text{eff}}$$
 (6.4)

where B(E,T): Black Body spectrum and where

$$f = 1.34 + 0.25((1 + X)/1.7)^{2.2}(T_{\rm eff}/10^7 \,{\rm K})^4(g/10^{13} \,{\rm cm} \,{\rm s}^{-2})^{-2.2}$$
 (6.5)

with $g = (1 + z) \cdot GM/R^2$ a correction factor for the surface gravity and $X \sim 0.7$ the atmospheric H-fraction.

X-ray Bursts

6-24





Burst Theory, I



(Joss & Rappaport, 1984, Fig. 13)

Explanation: Bursts are thermonuclear explosions on neutron star surface. Accretion of hydrogen onto surface \implies H fuses into He (mainly electron captures), nuclear statistical equilibrium below that \implies He shell, and then higher



Burst Theory, II

Since $T > 10^7$ K: H-burning occurs via the CNO-cycle. CNO cycle is saturated at $T \gtrsim 8 \times 10^7$ K: timescale for proton capture $< \beta$ -decays of standard CNO-cycle $t_{1/2} \sim 100-1000$ s for ¹³N, ¹⁴O, ¹⁵O)

 \implies "hot CNO cycle":

$${}^{12}\mathrm{C}(p,\gamma){}^{13}\mathrm{N}(p,\gamma){}^{14}\mathrm{O}(\beta^+){}^{14}\mathrm{N}(p,\gamma){}^{15}\mathrm{O}(\beta^+){}^{15}\mathrm{N}(p,\alpha){}^{12}\mathrm{C}$$

This process is instable for

$$\dot{m} < 900 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1} (Z_{\rm CNO}/0.01)^{1/2}$$
 (6.6)

where Z: mass fraction and where $\dot{m} = \dot{M}/(4\pi R^2)$. \implies Type I burst

Burst Theory

6 - 26



Burst Theory, III

For higher \dot{m} : H-burning is stable. But: ρ is high, so He burning is also possible (mainly 3α process):

- For $\dot{m} < 2000 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}(Z_{\rm CNO}/0.01)^{13/18}$: H burns faster than He, \Longrightarrow pure He X-ray bursts
- Above this \dot{m} : simultaneous H/He-burning.

Because of the strong temperature dependence of the 3α process:

- For $T < 5 \times 10^8$ K: He ignites explosively in thin shell \implies X-ray burst

conditions typically ok for explosive energy release, once 10^{21} g material have been accumulated; for $\dot{M} \sim 10^{17}$ g s⁻¹ this corresponds to burst recurrence timescales of 10000 s, as observed; energy release $\sim 10^{39}$ erg s⁻¹

- For $T > 5 \times 10^8$ K: H and He burns stable \implies no bursts in higher \dot{M} sources!

see Strohmayer & Bildsten (2006) for recent review and references to current ideas. Early theory (more understandable): Hansen & van Horn (1975), Lamb & Lamb (1978), Taam & Picklum (1979)





The Energy released during the burst is:

$$E_{\text{burst}} = Q \frac{4\pi R^2 H \rho}{m_{\text{H}}} \sim 2 - 8 \times 10^{39} \,\text{erg}$$
 (6.7)

where typical parameters are $R=\rm 10\,km,\,H\sim 10^2\,cm,\,\rho=\rm 10^6\,g\,cm^{-3},$ and

- H-burning: $Q = 7 \text{ MeV nucleon}^{-1}$
- He-burning: $Q = 1.5 \,\mathrm{MeV}\,\mathrm{nucleon}^{-1}$

If the whole accreted matter $M_{acc} = 4\pi R^2 H \rho$ is used, then the time averaged burst luminosity is

$$L_{\text{burst}} = \frac{E_{\text{burst}}}{\Delta t} = Q \frac{\dot{M}}{m_{\text{H}}}$$
(6.8)

Since the accretion luminosity is

$$L_{\rm acc} = \frac{GMM}{R} \tag{6.9}$$

the ratio between persistent and burst emission is

$$\alpha = 30 - 120 \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{R}{10 \,\mathrm{km}}\right)^{-1} \tag{6.10}$$

similar to what is observed.

Burst Theory

6 - 28



(Taam & Picklum, 1979, Fig. 2)

Theoretical outburst profile





Burst Theory, VI



(Cumming, 2004, Fig. 3; calculation for average burst profile of GS 1826–24, the "clocked burster" with 4 h burst recurrence timescale)

Theory and observations of type I bursts agree well





Burst Theory, VII



For most luminous bursts (large He fraction):

$L\gtrsim L_{\rm Edd}$

- \implies atmosphere "ejected"
- \implies radius expansion

bursts.

Note that outside of bursts $R_{\rm BB} \sim R_{\rm neutron \; star}!$

(Galloway et al., 2006, Fig. 10)



(Schatz & Rehm, 2006, Fig. 1)

For $T \gtrsim 10^9$ K, fusion of higher Z elements is possible during X-ray burst (rp-process)



t= 60 $\mu { m s}$

Zingale et al. (2001): 2D hydrodynamical calculations of He detonation spreading over neutron star



t= 90 μ s

Zingale et al. (2001): 2D hydrodynamical calculations of He detonation spreading over neutron star



$t = 150 \, \mu \mathrm{s}$

Zingale et al. (2001): 2D hydrodynamical calculations of He detonation spreading over neutron star



Zingale et al. (2001): Evolution of a burst: density evolution



Zingale et al. (2001): Evolution of a burst: temperature evolution



Superbursts, I

1154 WFC type I X-ray bursts







Superbursts, II



(Kuulkers, 2004, Fig. 3)

Some bursts have very long duration: Superbursts





Superbursts, III



Temperature evolution over the superburst, similar to normal bursts, but the burst takes much longer \implies explosive C burning?

But: Early theory: pure ¹²C layer is very stable, so would expect long recurrence time (100 s of years; Taam & Picklum 1979)

Cumming & Bildsten (2001): better theory: C burning is possible if there is a small ¹²C fraction $(Z(^{12}C) \sim 0.1)$, so superbursts are probably signs of explosive carbon burning.

(Kuulkers, 2004, Fig. 2)



(Joss & Rappaport, 1984, Fig. 18)

Bursting of the "Rapid Burster" MXB1730–335: Type I and Type II bursts.

Type II bursts: magnetospheric gate model: B-fi eld blocks accretion until $P_{gas} > P_{mag} \Longrightarrow$ BOOM.



(Bursting Pulsar; Kommers, 1996, priv. comm.)

Before 1995 December 2: X-ray bursts and pulsations cannot occur in the same object. Then: GRO J1744–28 the bursting pulsar. Pulsations with 2 Hz and type II bursts. Burst rate: \sim 20 h⁻¹, then decreasing to 1 h⁻¹. Orbit \sim 2 d. Source temporarily brightest X-ray source in the sky (several Crab).





Burst oscillations



(after Galloway et al., 2006, Fig. 3; colors: power spectrum)

Burst oscillation: strong, coherent oscillation in decay of burst with long term stability. Asymptotic frequency \sim agrees with pulsar rotational frequency

Bursting Pulsar



A. Spitkovsky / F. Özel (priv. comm.)





Timing

To describe the variability of an evenly spaced time series $x_k = x(t_k = k\Delta t)$ we use the Discrete Fourier Transform $X_j = X(f_j = j/N\Delta t)$

$$X_j = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_k \exp(2\pi i j k/N) \quad \text{, for } j = 1...N/2$$
 (6.11)

Remember: $\exp(i\phi) = \cos \phi + i \sin \phi$

The amount of variability at a frequency f_j is then characterized by the Power Spectral Density,

$$\mathsf{PSD}_j = A \, X_j^* X_j \tag{6.12}$$

where A is a normalization constant.

To reduce scatter, one often averages the power spectra of several data segments.

The PSD describes the contribution of a given frequency to the total variance of the lightcurve (power).

One often uses the Miyamoto normalization where

$$A_{\text{Miyamoto}} \iff (\text{rms}/\langle \text{rate} \rangle)^2 \,\text{Hz}^{-1}$$
 (6.13)





EXOSAT: The QPO Era, I



EXOSAT ME: 1–20 keV, $A_{
m eff}=$ 1600 cm², $\Delta t\sim$ 0.25 ms

1985, IAUC 4043:

"EXOSAT observations of the bright galactic-bulge source GX 5–1 made during 1984 Sept. 18.46–18.83 UT with a time resolution of 0.25 ms show the presence of quasiperiodic oscillations of the 1–10 keV flux with a typical period between 25 and 50 ms [20–40 Hz]."

phenomenon, we urge observers to search for similar X-ray behavior in other sources"

van der Klis, Jansen, van Paradijs, Lewin, van den Heuvel, Trümper, Szatjno



(QPOs during type II bursts of the rapid burster; Lewin, van Paradijs & van der Klis, 1988, Fig. 1.3)





RXTE: The Kilohertz QPO Era, I







RXTE: The Kilohertz QPO Era, II



- always have 3 characteristic frequencies:
 - "Low Frequency QPOs" (ν_{LF}): 0.1–100 Hz, many types
 - "kHz Twin Peaks" (ν₁, ν₂): 200–1400 Hz
- "real" kHz QPOs only for neutron star binaries, mostly persistent LMXBs,
 ≥ 20 kHz QPO sources are known, mostly showing double peaks

The kHz QPO strength is flux dependent.

(Wijnands & van der Klis, 1999)





Origin of QPOs



kHZ QPOs occur are on timescales close to the innermost stable circular orbit: The Keplerian orbit frequency: is

1200 Hz

500 Hz

 $\nu_{\rm orb} = \left(\frac{GM}{4\pi^2 R_{\rm orb}^3}\right)^{1/2} \approx 1200 \,\mathrm{Hz} \left(\frac{R_{\rm orb}}{15 \,\mathrm{km}}\right)^{-3/2} m_{1.4}^{1/2} \tag{6.14}$

The edge of the accretion disk is at the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO), Schwarzschild geometry:

$$R_{\rm ISCO} = \frac{6GM}{c^2} \sim 12.5M_{1.4}\,\rm km \tag{6.15}$$

and therefore the maximum stable frequency in an accretion disk is

$$\nu_{\rm ISCO} \sim \frac{1580\,{\rm Hz}}{M_{1.4}}$$
(6.16)

Corrections due to the spin of the central object can amount to several 10%





Origin of QPOs



The frequencies of kHz QPOs usually increase with X-ray flux ("parallel-lines phenomenon"), and can saturate at a maximum frequency.

 \implies Models need to explain ν_{LF}, ν_1 , and ν_2 .

(4U 1820-30; Zhang et al., 1998)





"beat": resonance between some preferred Keplerian orbit & spin frequency

Magnetospheric BFM:

- preferred radius = Alfvén radius
- orbiting clump ($\nu_{Alfvén}$) modulated by *B*-field (ν_{spin})
- \implies can explain LF QPOs, 5–50 Hz

Sonic Point BFM:

- preferred radius = where radial inflow velocity becomes supersonic, near ISCO
- orbiting clump ($\nu_{sonic} > \nu_{spin}$) causes bright footpoint near surface, footpoint: upper kHz QPO, $\nu_2 = \nu_{sonic}$
- clumps are irradiated with ν_{spin}
 ⇒ footpoint emission is modulated with
 beat between ν_{sonic} and ν_{spin},
 footpoint modulation: lower kHz QPO,
 ν₁ = ν_{heat}



(Miller, Lamb & Psaltis, 1998)





Beat Frequency Model, III



There is a varying frequency separation between the kHz QPOs of different sources ⇒ problem for the beat frequency model?

Models for QPOs

⁽van der Klis, 2000)





Beat Frequency Model, IV



The frequency separation of the QPOs varies differently in different sources.

Models for QPOs



Beat Frequency Model, V

Properties & problems of the sonic point beat frequency model:

- needs surface
 - \implies not valid for BHC sources
- Keplerian motion inside $r_{\text{Alfén}}$
- r_{sonic} depends on \dot{M} \implies varying ν_2 can be explained
- $\Delta \nu = \nu_2 \nu_1$, constant, can be $< \nu_{spin}$ \implies varying $\Delta \nu$ cannot easily be explained
- predicts additional frequencies (differing from precession model)



Relativistic Precession Model, I

General Relativity: free-particle orbits show characteristic frequencies Idea of the model:

- disk is disrupted near ISCO, forming blobs
- blob orbits are inclined and eccentric

Characteristic frequencies:

- orbit frequency: upper kHz QPO, ν_2
- periastron precession: lower kHz QPO, ν_1
- relativistic frame dragging → "wobble of the orbital plane": nodal precession (Lense-Thirring)

 $\nu_{\rm LF} = \mathbf{2} \times \nu_{\rm nod}$

 $\nu_{\rm nod} = 8\pi^2 I \ \nu_2^2 \nu_{\rm spin} / c^2 M$, where *I*: moment of inertia

see, e.g., Stella & Vietri (1998)

Models for QPOs



(Marković & Lamb, 1998, see also Marković & Lamb, 2000, astro-ph/0009169)





Relativistic Precession Model, III

Properties & problems of the RPM:

- does not need surface
 - \implies also valid for BHC sources
- can explain $\Delta \nu$ (more or less)
- how to disrupt the disk?
 how to create compact clumps?
 how to maintain tilted orbits?
- how to create the flux modulations?
- other frequencies could be more important





Model Summary

Promises:

- constrain M and R (via kHz QPOs)
 - \implies constrain Equation of State for neutron stars
- constrain spin

("holy grail", LMXB/ms radio pulsar evolution?!)

- constrain B-fi eld (via LF QPOs)
- observe GR effects

Diffi culties:

- observations (varying Δν_{kHz}, ν-correlations) triggered evolution of many different models (> 12)
- no individual model does address all issues (i.e, generation of flux modulation, ...)
- \bullet models predict different $\nu_{\rm spin}$ and M, e.g.,

BFM: $\nu_{spin} = 250\text{--}350\,\text{Hz}$

RPM: $\nu_{\sf spin} =$ 300–900 Hz

 what about "surface models"? <>>> big question: do BHCs show the same behavior as neutron star XRBs?

Models for QPOs

Church, M. J., 2004, in Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofi sica Conference Series, ed. G. Tovmassian, E. Sion, Vol. 20, 140

- Church, M. J., & Balucinska-Church, M., 1995, A&A, 300, 441
- Cumming, A., 2004, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl., 132, 435
- Cumming, A., & Bildsten, L., 2001, ApJ, 559, L127
- Galloway, D. K., Muno, M. P., Hartman, J. M., Savov, P., Psaltis, D., & Chakrabarty, D., 2006, ApJS, submitted (astro-ph/0608259)
- Grimm, H.-J., Gilfanov, M., & Sunyaev, R., 2003, Ch. J. Astron. Astrophys. Suppl., 3, 257
- Hansen, C. J., & van Horn, H. M., 1975, ApJ, 195, 735
- Hasinger, G., & van der Klis, M., 1989, A&A, 225, 79
- Jonker, P. G., et al., 2000, ApJ, 537, 374
- Joss, P. C., & Rappaport, S. A., 1984, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 22, 537
- Kuulkers, E., 2004, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl., 132, 466
- Lamb, D. Q., & Lamb, F. K., 1978, ApJ, 220, 291
- Lewin, W. H. G., van Paradijs, J., & Taam, R. E., 1993, Space Sci. Rev., 62, 223
- Lewin, W. H. G., van Paradijs, J., & van der Klis, M., 1988, Space Sci. Rev., 46, 273
- Marković , D., & Lamb, F. K., 1998, ApJ, 507, 316
- Méndez, M., van der Klis, M., Ford, E. C., Wijnands, R., & van Paradijs, J., 1999, ApJ, 511, L49
- Miller, M. C., Lamb, F. K., & Psaltis, D., 1998, ApJ, 508, 791
- Mitsuda, K., Inoue, H., Nakamura, N., & Tanaka, Y., 1989, PASJ, 41, 97
- Schatz, H., & Rehm, K. E., 2006, Nucl. Phys. A, 777, 601
- Stella, L., & Vietri, M., 1998, ApJ, 492, L49
- Stella, L., & Vietri, M., 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett., 82, 17

6-60

- Strohmayer, T., & Bildsten, L., 2006, in Compact stellar X-ray sources, ed. W. Lewin, M. van der Klis, (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 113–156
- Swank, J. H., Becker, R. H., Boldt, E. A., Holt, S. S., Pravdo, S. H., & Serlemitsos, P. J., 1977, ApJ, 212, L73
- Taam, R. E., & Picklum, R. E., 1979, ApJ, 233, 327
- van der Klis, M., 1989, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 27, 517
- van der Klis, M., 2000, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 38, 717
- van Straaten, S., van der Klis, M., & Méndez, M., 2003, ApJ, 596, 1155
- White, N. E., Stella, L., & Parmar, A. N., 1988, ApJ, 324, 363
- Wijnands, R., & van der Klis, M., 1999, ApJ, 514, 939
- Zhang, W., Smale, A. P., Strohmayer, T. E., & Swank, J. H., 1998, ApJ, 500, L171
- Zingale, M., et al., 2001, ApJS, 133, 195





Black Hole Binaries